APPENDIX A

SUPERIOR COURT PIMA COUNTY

IJ

previously discussed is having Ms. Gaynes come over and just go through the boxes that I have to make some kind of list or comparison with what they have to make sure that nothing slips through the cracks.

If there is an issue that arises that has some serious consequences either on the eve of trial or later, I think the sheer volume of it is what's going to contribute to it. And that is why we are trying to get some manageable methods to make sure that doesn't happen.

THE COURT: Good. That's what I have in mind also.

Anything further, Mr. Bloom, on this issue?

MR. BLOOM: Yes, I do, Your Honor. I guess
I think that -- I'm also thinking about some -- I

received a -- to give an example, I received a phone
call this weekend off my answering service indicating
that I -- and I won't disclose what the call was because
I don't think it's something that has to be publicly
discussed, but it has to do with the case. And it has
to do with a witness that knew some information about
the case that had disclosed this to the prosecution on
May 14th by virtue of a call to 88-CRIME regarding this
case. And it was potentially a lead, a lead that would
exculpate or exonerate the defendant.

SUPERIOR COURT PIMA COUNTY

ll

I have not seen this disclosure. I haven't seen anything regarding it. And I'm just curious why I don't get that kind of information, regardless if it comes to 88-CRIME or to the County Attorney's Office, since it's really part and parcel of the same office. And if it was something detrimental to Mr. Atwood, you can be sure that the prosecution would be all over it and would be ready to go and would be disclosing it at that point.

But when it seems to be -- and I don't know if it is true or not, but that is just an example. So I would ask them to re-evaluate the Brady materials if it does exist and to -- this is just an example of a phone call that purportedly occurred on May 14. If they could tell me about this type of evidence, I certainly would like to know about it now rather than have to file a motion for new trial or on appeal if this ever occurred.

the only reason that I ever asked Mr. Davis -- it seems like every time we get into something about what our conversations are, it becomes a personality situation. I never raised anything personal until Mr. Davis raised it in the motion for exhumation. I didn't intend to raise anything personal. But when he did that, I had no recourse but to respond.

disclosure to me on the new number. What I asked him to describe the disclosure on the cases; because I didn't know if Mr. Couser had excepting excit he last it or what. I didn't want to have to rely or have Mr. Couser in any way have any responsibility anymore on the case. And so I asked him for new disclosure.

Indictment numbers. But he seems to think that I was concerned about indictment numbers. And he has a different understanding of the law than I do, because when you consolidate a case it's my understanding that everything that occurred under each case number becomes part and parcel of that one case. You don't just say, well, you filed it under that case and so therefore you can't get it in under this case. That's what consolidation means; the cases are brought together for whatever purpose.

And I indicate to him that now and on the record that I am endorsing, so he knows that, I don't think this has to be done, but I want it clear that I'm endorsing that disclosure of Mr. Couser. And as I said earlier to Your Honor, I will supplement that with additional disclosure as I have it forthwith.

garage.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

. 9

10

ll

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Honor.

Therefore, I am requesting that he furnish me with the rebuttal to the disclosure that has been furnished to him. And I will ask him to do so forthwith.

Now, he never addressed himself to that, but I assume that s just case law in this state that if there is disclosure made then you have to furnish

rebuttal. I don't know, I mean to me it seems like it's pretty elementary.

THE COURT: You're aware of that disclosed

by Mr. Couser then and that's what you are endorsing?

MR. BLOOM: Yes,

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BLOOM: That's all I'm endorsing, Your

THE COURT: Okay.

Let me ask Mr. Davis if he wants to address any further, though, the disclosure that was provided by Mr. Couser and any rebuttal that he might have to it.

MR. DAVIS: I don't want to take up the Court's time with that. I will ask, and perhaps the thing to do would be file my own if I see the need after I examine that. I was not concerned with that. What I was concerned with was moving the case along. I am really concerned with getting experts because that's the

Supplied the second

l

thing that could delay me if he doesn't get the opportunity to examine their results and interview them and check their results in a timely fashion.

I would just, with the Court's indulgence, address the 88-CRIME lead exculpating the defendant.

Obviously Mr. Bloom knows something that I don't. So now it's a secret, that is a Brady violation. If he has any question about that and is willing to supply me with any information, I will be glad to pursue that.

As far as that issue goes, there must have been hundreds and maybe even thousands of calls about this case offering theories, ideas, and possible culprits. I don't propose for one minute to endorse that all of that is Brady and somehow the burden is upon the State to find amongst all those thousands of calls to 88-CRIME every one that had a theory other than that Frank Atwood did it.

I think that is an impossible burden. I don't think that's Brady involved in this. I will keep my eyes open. If the defendant would let me know what his defenses are, it would help me recognize anything that was Brady. But if Mr. Bloom has any ideas about it, I will pursue it. But to impute to me the knowledge of everything that was called over the phone to 88-CRIME, for instance, or other law enforcement

agencies about this, I think that's an impossible burden and that does not go to Brady.

I have one file that is very thick which is labeled merely the cuckoo file. And that consists of a torrent of letters from obviously disturbed people about this case. Mr. Couser and I used to get together on a somewhat regular basis and compare our cuckoo files to see if we were getting the same ones. Most of the time we were.

But again, to apply the Brady label to that — but I will certainly disclose my cuckoo file to Mr. Bloom and perhaps he can get an expert to decipher what they are saying. But to endorse that everything that's ever been called in on this case that points or has a theory other than Mr. Atwood, I just can't meet that burden. And I would rather deal with it in specifics. And if Mr. Bloom has more details about the May 14th call, we will get all the information about that to him.

that Mr. Davis is well aware of the State's responsibilities pursuant to Brady and will be providing everything that becomes known to him. I would not hold him responsible for knowing of every phone call that came in to 88-CRIME either. If you have some ideas of

particular calls or something like that, I am sure if you will make it known to him he will try to have those located for you.

MR. BLOOM: I resent the term "cuckoo file." But I know it's a little joke. I don't think there is anything hilarious about the case. But evidently Mr. Davis has referred to it as that.

I want that file; if he is willing to give it to me, that's fine, I will take it. I will take anything that he has, regardless if he thinks it's frivolous. I think there is a lot of things that he has indicated that are frivolous that are very reliable people that he has discounted entirely because it doesn't fit in the scheme of his case. But I think I am entitled to that information.

And if there are calls that give evidence that are Brady material, I would expect him to give it to me whether he thinks it's a joke or not. I really don't think that's his decision to make. If he thinks it's somebody that's not of sound mind, fine, that's okay for him, but I want to investigate it. I want to be able to check it out.

What really is brought to mind on this motion is I recall another thing I wanted of great importance, and that is I would like the -- and it

hasn't been disclosed to me -- the original calls made to the police department on September 17th 1984 when Vicki was allegedly taken or was found missing.

There were calls made by Mrs. Carlson to
the police department, and I don't have those calls, and
calls that were made by various witnesses on those
opening days following the 17th of September through the
25th until the defendant was arrested, those calls of
witnesses that they intend to use.

recorded. And unlike the police calls over the radio which are destroyed after six months, those calls are generally preserved and there is a tape. And if there is not a tape transcription, then I would ask that they furnish me with the tape of those witnesses they intend to call wherein those witnesses made calls to 88-CRIME or to the County Attorney's Office offering their knowledge about the case.

And I would ask that I be given that information. And the precise example that I was thinking of, I know that Debbie Carlson called up the police reporting the missing of her child. And I think that I would like to have that call, the substance of that call, the information provided therein.

THE COURT: Thank you.

SUPERIOR COURT PIMA COUNTY

CONTRACTOR OF COMME

1 Mr. Davis, you can furnish the file that 2 you made reference to then? 3 MR. DAVIS: Yes. What about the phone calls that THE COURT: 5 were made at the time of Vicki Lynn's disappearance? б MR. DAVIS: I will have to check on that 7 and see if those tape recordings are still preserved, 8 THE COURT: All right. 9 Mr. Bloom, you will be entitled to that if 10 they are. 11 Mr. Bloom, you want to take which motion . 12 next? 13 MR. BLOOM: Deposition." 14 THE COURT: All right. 15 MR. BLOOM: Your Honor, this is my motion, 16 for the deposition of Treva Daugherty. Without 17 repeating the motion, in substance, I want to depose 18 this woman because she is uncooperative. She is uncooperative because -- I can only give you the brief 19 20 scenario to show you how uncooperative she is. 21 Your Honor should be aware that Mr. Davis 22 has sent letters to all witnesses on this case that the 23 State intends to call indicating to them that if in fact 24 the defense wants to talk to them that in substance

Mr. Davis would like to be present if that witness would

25

IN THE	SUPERIOR COURT	OF THE	STATE OF	ARIZONA
11	N AND FOR THE C	OUNTY OF	MARICOPA	
		ton - any any polyments of		
)		
STATE OF ARIZON	10)		
	Plaintiff,)		
no V 🕃 est		من بناء	NO. CR-14	1065
Frank Jarvis Att	₹00D,	الم المرابع		
	Defendant.	<i>)</i>		
)		
	Phoenix, February			
	(24	•		
DEFORE	: THE HONORABL Judge of the			Pig.
	umie or m	e en alvera	ely Pero Payol Berkelia Pero	
			- 107 40	
	TRANSCRIPT OF	PROCEEDI	<u>NGS</u>	
	a = 0			
(PADEN F	W: Sefruse	e Alles	u (ey)	
N/ po				
V //	6.4			

1	Q When you saw the shirt, did you notice
2	anything unusual about the shirt?
3	A No, I can't remember anything unusual
4	about it.
5	Q Did you see any blood on Mr. Atwood's
6	body when he came back to the park the first time?
7	A The first time he came back?
8	Q On his body.
9	A No, I didn't.
)	Q When I interviewed you on the 1st of
	November remember we talked about the shirt, whether
	he had a shirt on when he came back to the park?
	A I don't remember it.
	Q See if I can refresh your recollection of
	Page 180
	MR. DAVIS: November, '85?
	MR. BLOOM: Yes.
	I'm having trouble finding it. We
	will move on to something else.
	THE COURT: That's fine.
	I'm about to ask if you are anywhere
	near completion of your examination.
	MR. BLOOM: No, Your Honor.
	THE COURT: This is a good time for a recess
	for the afternoon, Jurors, because counsel and I

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF PIMA

STATE OF ARIZONA,

Plaintiff,

VB.

CR 14065

FRANK JARVIS ATWOOD,

Defendant.

Phoenix, Arizona March 2, 1987 P.M. Session

BEFORE: The Honorable John G. Hawkins

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Prepared for Appeal

COPY

Janet Babicky Court Reporter

1 THE WITNESS: I don't remember, Your Honor. O. BY MR. BLOOM: Mr. Bernsienne, we, without 2 3 going into detail about it, did you not discuss with me 4 about relationships, and I won't even specify, that you 5 had had with people in high school, sexual relationships 6 with people of, males, didn't you tell me that? 7 A. I may have. We talked about an incredible B amount of things those days. You kept a record, I didn't. 9 Were you given a copy of it? No. sir. 1.0 A. 11 Mr. Davis did not allow you to read it? 12 I haven't read it. 13 Q. Well, whether you read it or not, you do 14 recall, at least you can tell me from your own knowledge 15 whether it's in here or not, that you had such 16 relationships? 17 A. Yes. 18 In high school, isn't that right? 19 A. Yes. 20 And have on and off since that time 23. maintained that situation in your life? A. Yes. 22 23 O. And at some time, you became a member of a 24 secret organization; is that right? 25 A. No, sir.

organization?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. No, sir.

- O. Did you hold yourself out to be a person with knowledge of that organization?
- A. Some -- I read books about it, but I knew nothing about it. I was told nothing about an organization.
 - Q. Did you know people in it?
 - A. No. sir.
- O. Did you know how to get in contact with people that may have access to the organization?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. Well, you did know the name of the order though, at least, didn't you?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- O. In fact, you had a great deal of conversation with Mr. Atwood about this organization, didn't you?
 - A. Yes.
- O. Is the order, is it some kind of a -- does it have something to do with being a mystical order, without giving us the details about it?
 - A. I know nothing about it; I'm not a member.
- Q. When you went to the preliminary hearing on November 13, 1984 -- Do you remember that date, in Tucson?
 - A. Yes.

34 1 what I read. 2 Q. Well, you know the name? A. As far as I know, that could or could not be 3 4 the name. 5 Q. So you just might be guessing? 6 Just as much as you are. 7 O. But you held yourself out as an instructor, 8 did you not? 9 A. No, sir. 10 In your correspondence to Mr. Atwood, didn't 11 you say you were his instructor? 12 Yes, sir. Λ. 13 And that Mr. Atwood was your probationer? 0. 14 No, he was not. A. Q. Didn't you tell him that that's what he would 15 be? 16 17 A. No. sir. 18 Did you instruct him as a probationer? I instructed him in his studies in the 19 20 instructions handed out by the order in its books. 21 . O. Well, in your letter, did you write a letter 22 to him on December 12 -- and I don't know, you'll have to 23 help me here Mr. Bernsienne, because you use Roman numerals. LXXVII. What year is that? 24 A. 177. 25

I don't know how else to do it.

2

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. BLOOM: I'm sorry, this we should have gotten Your Honor a copy so you could just follow, see the lines and make your ruling from the bench. That would be the proper way to do it. I apologize for not doing that.

THE COURT: That's not feasible.

(The following proceedings were held in open court with counsel, the defendant, and the jurors present.)

THE COURT: The objection is overruled as to this particular question.

O. BY MR. BLOOM: Referring to the June 30, letter, 1983, Mr. Bernsienne, did you write as follows: Do what thou witness shall be the whole of the law. As you no doubt know by now, your instructor has been engaged in the affairs of a retirement.

Did you say that?

A. I may have. I'm not looking at the letter, you are.

THE CLERK: Defendant's AAAO.

MR. BLOOM: May I approach the witness, Your

Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

O. BY MR. BLOOM: Show you what's marked ANAO,

25 Mr. Bernsienne.

1	
	THE COURT: Three A's.
	O. AAAO. If you can please look at that.
	A. Yes.
ŀ	Q. And can you tell us what that is?
1	A. What?
1	O. What you have in your hand.
	A. It's a letter.
	O. Written by whom?
1	A. Myself.
	O. And can you read the first two and a half
111	nes to yourself?
	A. Yes.
	O. Did I just read that out?
	A. Yes.
	O. Did you say that?
	A. Yes.
	Q. Mr. Bernsienne, is your Social Security
nu	mher 579-56-2265?
	MR. DAVIS: Objection; irrelevant, Your Honor.
	THE COURT: Objection is overruled.
	THE WITNESS: Yes.
	O. BY MR. DAVIS: Born on January 6, 1944?
	A. Yes.
	Q. Do you remember when we spoke on November 6
and	7, and I asked you if you had ever been arrested?

- A. I don't know what you're asking me.
- Q. Have you ever been arrested?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. You have. For what?
- A. Bumped up charges. I don't know what the charge was in either case. Furthermore, it was thrown out.
- Q. Let me see if I get these questions so we get it clear what you were asked when I interviewed you on the 6th. I take it you were telling me the truth at the time?
- A. I made it a point whatever you were trying to dig up behind me.
 - Q. My question was --
 - A. You're the one that wants to dig it up again.
- MR. BLOOM: Please, Your Honor, I don't want to quarrel.

THE COURT: Mr. Bernsienne, this is going to be much prolonged if you don't answer the questions.

At this point, it is relevant, he is going to ask you, he is going to quote to you at this point the question asked of you then and answer given by you then, and ask you if you recall giving that answer. Would you listen to it carefully, please, and then answer it.

O. BY MR. BLOOM: This is on page 31 of November 6, 1985.

25

THE COURT: Objection is overruled. You may

47
fraud, therefore, I was not a member.
Q. But you thought you were?
A. Yes.
Q. And what organization was that?
A. The AA.
Q. And that was in 1980 then, right?
A. My membership?
Q. No, the fact that you believed you were a
member in 1980.
A. Yes.
O. When did you learn that you really weren't a
member?
A. In 1981.
Q. Did you continue on with the organization in
some real familion then?
A. There was nothing to continue on with.
Q. Well, there was a there was an
organization, was there not?
A. Perhaps.
O. Did you continue to still write to Mr. Atwood
about the organization and its tenets?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you following the tenets of a person by
the name of Ellister Crowley?
A. Yes.

1 Do you still live by those tenets? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Did you tell Mr. Atwood in the beginning that 4 you would be able to teach him about this mystical order 5 and about astrology? 6 A. I only told him I would teach him astrology, 7 anything else he would have to learn on his own. It is a 8 personal experience, not a group experience. 9 O. How about yoga? 10 A. Personal. And that is all they are, they're 11 yoga. 1.2 They are a lot more than that, aren't they? 0. 1.3 MR. DAVIS: Objection. 14 MR. BLOOM: I will ask the witness not to offer 15 statements. 16 THE COURT: Let me correct both. One, Mr. 17 Bernsienne, don't offer statements. If there is a 18 question before you, answer it yes or no. If we need any further explanation, the attorney will ack for it or I 19 20 will ask for it. 21 And Mr. Bloom --22 MR. BLOOM: Yes, Your Honor. 23

THE COURT: -- proceed with another question,

please.

24

25

Q. BY MR. BLOOM: Mr. Bernsienne, did you tell

64 him I was gay. 1 2 MR. BLOOM: That's right. That's all I'm going to read. That's the reason for that, Judge. 3 4 THE COURT: Mr. Davis, did you want to go 5 further? 6 MR. DAVIS: No. If he reads that, that's fine. 7 But I would like to object to any further questions about 8 the military. That line of questioning is not relevant. 9 MR. BLOOM: I'm not going into that. 10 MR. DAVIS: He's going to read that. 11 MR. BLOOM: I'll read that. 12 THE COURT: Complete that. 13 (The following proceedings were held in open 14 court with counsel, the defendant, and the jurors 15 present.) 16 THE COURT: Mr. Bloom, if you would complete this 17 question. 1.8 Mr. Bernsienne, he's going to read a little 19 further in the transcript, the questions and the answers. 20 Listen to them and he'll ask you if you gave those 21 answers, please. O. BY MR. BLOOM: I'll read it where I started, 22 23 Mr. Bernsienne. "OUESTION. You have no idea why they didn't 24

JANET BABICKY - SUPERIOR COURT

let you into the military?

2.5

1.5

1 Remember my asking you some questions about 0. 2 this particular statement about he won't talk, do you 3 remember that? 4 A. No. 5 In the transcript that we talked about, he 6 won't talk? 7 A. You asked me a lot of questions. I don't 8 remember exactly what it was. 9 I know. But do you remember some general 10 questions about that? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. And you remember talking about the statement 13 you made to Randy West on the 20th regarding that? 14 A. What statement? 15 0. I'm going to read it to you. Do you remember 16 making some statements? 17 A. Yes. 1.8 Q. Okay. 19 Did you tell Randy West, regarding that he made this statement to you, first of all, while you were 20 21 out there in May or June? Did you tell him that? 22 A. No. 23 Q. And then he asked you on page two: "Of what 24 year?" And you said, "Of this year." 25

Answer: This year.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And your answer: "He told me a lot of things, they were all disconnected. I, after sitting there about it, he had this thing for rock concerts. I don't know what really he said exactly but that was -- I know he was carrying on a relationship of some kind with a kid in Connecticut. He had been doing it by letter, and it was going on while he, I think this fell was under 20 years old, I'm not sure what his age is right now, he's close to 20 years old. He didn't say anything that I remembered clearly until after I got to California. He had a series of run-ins with his parents, and he called me and told me that he had a conclusion of one altercation he had with him, that he had a series of problems going on with a kid and making sure this time

"And then did you tell him," question.

the kid wouldn't talk."

Did you say that?

- A. No. That's completely mixed up.
- Q. Let me direct your attention to page, on the transcript of November 7, 1985 , and I asked you some questions about the young man that Mr. Atwood was

about that. And I think we'll go to page 29, Mr. Davis.

And in reference to that, were you asked this question, did you give this answer.

MR. DAVIS: Which 29?

MR. BLOOM: Bottom of 29 of November 7.

Q. In reference to the child in Connecticut.

So that's why you said that when we asked about what did he say what he was going to do with the kid, and you say, I think he meant him harm, I'm sure. But that's in reference, it appears when you're talking about the specific kid in Connecticut.

"ANSWER: I think I made the comment to him that I suspected Frank was lying about the boy's age. He gave me that age that was in the teens, I remember telling this is a boy, not a young man of 19."

Did you say that?

- A. I don't remember.
- Q. At the preliminary hearing, you were asked this question by Mr. Davis that you recited to us in court today, is that correct?
 - A. What statement?
 - Q. About this time the child won't talk.
 - A. Yes.

JANET BABICKY - SUPERIOR COURT

	76
1	Q. When you testified at the preliminary
2	hearing, you didn't say child, did you?
3	A. I believe I used the word kid.
4	Q. And you referred to this one particular call,
5	isn't that right?
6	A, Yes.
7	Q. And then when you were asked by, on September
8	20, on page 12, you were asked this question on the bottom
9	of page 12 on the interview with Detective West, when
10	Mr. Blakeley was also present, and you were asked the
11	question about, has he ever threatened violence towards
12	children.
13	Remember that?
14	A. I don't remember it.
15	Q. And you said, "Only that one time. He talked
16	to me after, during June when he came up here."
17	Is that correct? Did you say that?
18	A. I don't remember saying that.
19	Q. Well, did you say to the police, the Enid
20	Police Department, that you had only spoken you only
21	heard this statement about this time he won't talk, and
22	that's what you told them, isn't that right?
23	A. Yes.
24	O. That you only heard that statement once.

JANET BABICKY - SUPERIOR COURT

isn't that what you told the Enid Police Department?

JANET BABICKY - SUPERIOR COURT

A. We had similar conversations, but that 1 2 statement, to my knowledge, did not come up until the 3 night he told me on the telephone he had had the fight 4 with his parents. 5 Q. Yes. And you didn't tell that to the Enid 6 Police Department that it came up in Los Angeles, that's 7 correct, right? 8 That statement did not come up in Los 9 Angeles, to my knowledge. 10 Q. And you're saying it happened now on this phone call? 11 12 A. I've always said that's where it happened. And then when I spoke to you, you said that 13 14 same statement may have come up at another time? 15 The subject came up, not the statement. 16 Q. You told me that same subject. 17 THE COURT: Don't talk at the same time, please. Mr. Bernsienne, had you finished your answer? 18 19 THE WITNESS: No. 20 THE COURT: Please do. THE WITNESS: It was in reference to his liking 21 22 for children that we talked a great deal. A threat of violence he made to me once on the telephone. 23

JANET BABICKY - SUPERIOR COURT

statement had, that particular statement had come up?

Q. BY MR. BLOOM: Did you not tell me that that

24

25

1 No. 2 THE COURT: Let him finish the question, 3 Mr. Bernsienne. 0. BY MR. BLOOM: Many times? 5 The subject came up. A. 6 Q. Just yes or no. 7 A. The subject of sex with children came up many 8 times. 9 THE COURT: Mr. Bernsienne, the question is that 10 particular quoted statement. Did you say that that had 11 come up before? 12 THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. BLOOM: Page 33 of the transcript of 13 14 November 7, 1985, were you asked these questions, and did 15 you give these answers, Mr. Bernsienne? 16 "QUESTION: So we don't really have --" 17 MR. DAVIS: Which 337 18 MR. BLOOM: November 7, 1985. 19 "QUESTION: So we don't really have even 0. 20 questions about times, dates and places, but 21 what we're talking about is that he may have 22 said this to you about that he would make sure 23 that they would not talk. That may have 24 occurred numerous times, stated by Frank?

"ANSWER: Yes."

25

Did you say that? 1 I don't remember. A. 3 "QUESTION: By that, I'm talking about, 0. you know --5 "ANSWER: The one I really took him serious on was the telephone call. 7 "QUESTION: Okay. 8 "ANSWER: It was the tone of voice and 9 the fact that he was so very upset at the time. 10 "QUESTION: Because of his parents. 11 "ANSWER: And I have seen him in that 12 mood before. But when he put that line to me 13 in that mood I got worried, I got worried, 14 really worried. "QUESTION: This would be when now, what 15 16 month are we talking about? 17 "ANSWER: June. 18 "QUESTION: " Middle of the page. "Since 19 you've known him --" 20 MR. DAVIS: Mr. Bloom, would you finish that 21 answer, please. 22 MR. BLOOM: June. When he called me in June, 23 that's when I worried. 24 "QUESTION: Since you've known him, where 25 you've actually spoke to him as opposed to

writing, how many times do you think he may,

I know you can't put a 12 or 18, but how many
times do you think that Frank, by way of his
conversation, intimated to you, even said the
words that 'I can't handle this, I'll make sure
they don't talk this time,' or 'they won't
talk'? You know, when it would come up.

"ANSWER: I can't even venture a guess. As
I said, the one I took him really seriously is
the one I remember when he called up in June.
We had so many conversations."

Then we go on.

"ANSWER: I can't put -- " on page 35. "I can't put a number."

MR. DAVIS: Finish that answer.

Q. BY MR. BLOOM: Yes, something like that.

It's said in different words and different ways.

MR. DAVIS: Excuse me. I meant the bottom of 34.

MR. BLOOM: About either in his room or at his outside pool.

MR. DAVIS: Yes. I think you stopped reading after June period.

MR. BLOOM: That's right. I did.

I'm going to the next page. We had so many conversations. Either in his room or in his outside pool.

JANET BABICKY - SUPERIOR COURT

IN	THE	SUPERIOR	COURT OF	THE ST	ATE OF	ARIZONA
		TN AND I	OR THE	COUNTY C	F DTMA	

STATE OF ARIZONA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CR 14065

FRANK JARVIS ATWOOD,

Defendant.

Phoenix, Arizona March 3, 1987 A.M. Session

BEFORE: The Honorable John G. Hawkins

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Prepared for Appeal



Janet Babicky Court Reporter

8

- Q. Did you correspond?
- A. I don't recall whether I wrote any letters to him. I don't believe I did. I don't recall.
 - Q. Let's see if I can refresh your memory.

 Did you write him a letter on June 127
 - A. If you're looking at one, I must have.
- O. Did you tell him on June 12 -- and this would be LXXX, which would be 1984, correct?
 - A. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the 0. law. I know I've said this before, but I've got it so bad that I'm going to say it again. I miss you. A lot. have been lonely before. That's a good word for it, but I've not felt this kind of lonely in a very long time. I've told you before that I do not use the word love lightly or often, but when I do, be assured I mean it. I know I've said it to your face to face several times before. I can now put it in writing without having to withhold it from crying eyes. I love you. I become sexually aggressive and passive towards the man I can say that to. I hope my aggressiveness was not too much of a turn-off, as I was not only expressing how I feel about you, but also letting you know that you are safe with me and you can express all of your desires with me. I also realize it was awkward being at your parents' home. For

THE COURT: Mr. Bernsienne, don't add things,

25

Just listen to the question and answer it. 1 2 Q. BY MR. BLOOM: Did you further write in this 3 letters "And there is a man out here that loves you, desires you, wants a life with you to share and grow in. 5 He is more than willing to prove it by pulling up stakes 6 and putting down there with you." Did you write that? 7 8 A. No, sir. 9 0. Excuse me? 10 A. No, sir. THE CLERK: ANAW. 11 12 MR. BLOOM: May I approach the witness, Your 13 Honor? 14 THE COURT: Yes, you may. 15 O. BY MR. BLOOM: Show you what has been marked 16 AAAW, ask you to look at that, please, to yourself. 17 A. The most important thing is missing, and that's my letterhead, so I didn't write it. I would have 18 written it on my own letterhead. That is not my letter. 19 Is that your signature? 20 Q. It looks like it. 21 A. Did you read the letter? 22 0. 23 Yes. A. 24 All of it? 0. 25 Yen. A.

1 Q. Did you tell Randy West that you were hurt, 2 quote, I quote this now, you were hurt and offended? 3 MR. DAVIS: Where, Mr. Bloom? 4 MR. BLOOM: What? 5 THE COURT: Quoted from what, Mr. Bloom? 6 Something to which you refer? 7 MR. BLOOM: I'm going to finish this statement, if I could, Judge. 8 9 THE COURT: Show Mr. Davis what it is that you're 10 using. 11 MR. BLOOM: I thought I could just ask the 12 question, Judge. 13 THE COURT: Please do that for me, Mr. Bloom. 14 MR. BLOOM: Yes, Judge. 15 This is in reference to a statement of Randy West, Your Honor, it was taken on November 7, 1985. 16 17 MR. DAVIS: Objection; improper impeachment, Your 18 Honor. 19 THE COURT: Want to bring it up, Mr. Bloom, and let me take a look at it? 20 21 Jan, if you will join us, please. (The following discussion was had at the 22 bench between Court and counsel, out of the hearing of the 23 24 jury:) 25 THE COURT: Can I see it?

1.7

MR. BLOOM; Judge, my question is simply going to read Randy West, such and such. If he does, then I will impeach him with Randy West. I don't have his statement to say that, I have Randy West. I thought I have a right to just ask that question. I thought we agreed, you know, you keep saying transcript, and that's why I don't understand what's going on.

THE COURT: All I ask, Mr. Bloom, you give

Mr. Davis some indication of your source. I don't know

your source, 'cause I don't have any of this before me.

MR. BLOOM: I don't think I have to.

THE COURT: You have given him your source and that is the interview of Randy West; is that correct?

MR. BLOOM; But, Judge, I don't think I have to give him the source. All I have to say, did you tell Randy West such and such, and then if I don't prove it with Randy West, then it goes out. I don't have to give the page and citation.

THE COURT: That's correct, Mr. Bloom, you don't have to. I've asked you to do that this time so I know there is some basis, so we know you aren't just creating this, okay? Now, you've done that, Mr. Davis what objection do you have?

MR. DAVIS: I object. Mr. Bloom said, and I quote, there's no quotation. Randy West was not quoting

Mr. Bernsienne. It's obviously some conclusion or speculation that he had. I would object to the form of the question.

THE COURT: Objection is overruled.

You may proceed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(The following proceedings were held in open court with counsel, the defendant, and the jurors present.)

- Q. BY MR. BLOOM: Mr. Bernsienne, did you indicate to Randy West that you were hurt and offended that Atwood would come to Enid, Oklahoma and bring another person with him?
 - A. I don't remember saying that.
- Q. Did you indicate to Mr. West that you were jealous of the fact that Mr. Atwood brought Mr. McDonald?
 - A. There was nothing to be jealous of.
 - Q. Your answer is no?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did you indicate to Randy West that you had been lovers, and now you had had a quarrel and that's why you were in the Police Department reporting him?
 - A. No.
 - MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, can I approach the bench?
 THE COURT: Yes, of course. We need the

25 reporter, I presume we do.

JN	J_iHF_i	SUPF	RIOR	COL	JRT (OF	THE	STAT	E	OF	ARIZ	CONA
		N.E	מואג	でつい	กาเมาเร	C C	STI NIOV	' 心ኒ	רמ	M A		

STATE OF ARIZONA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CR 14065

FRANK JARVIS ATWOOD,

Defendant.

Phoenix, Arizona February 18, 1987 A.M. Session

BEFORE: The Honorable John G. Hawkins

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Prepared for Appeal

Janet Babicky Court Reporter

	ુ શ્
7	A. I was on my way back. I had already been
2	there. I not on my ney back.
3	0. So you would have been doing south part the
Ê	car towards Ace Area?
r,	T. Yor, and I was going back whon I sighted him
6	the record time.
7	O. So you went to Are Ardo, and it was again on
8	the return trip to your yard that you now him again?
G	No Hight.
10	O. Approximately what time, if you know, was it
7.7	when you saw the person again?
12	N. It was 3:30, 4:00, somewhere around there.
¥3	O. Yell the jurors what you say at that time.
) v	A. I noticed him again the first time I saw
7 %	him in the school zone, and I hav the Colifornia plates,
) (;	and I saw the long hair, and I was thinking to myself, he
17	just don't fit the area. He just don't look right. And I
10	thought to myself, I justified it in my mind, saying,
10	well, there must be a rock concert or comething, because
20	this guy, you know, just wern't right for the
23	nciehborhood. Shat was my opinion.
22	So when I saw him the second time, I saw him
23	with a chall person in the car, and it was a little
21	forther down the etreet, and when I have him, you know, it
0.1 55	

war jury like it stood out again, "My God, there he is

again," and I saw this small person in the car. Then I justified it in my mind, I thought, well, maybe he's a divorce and he was here picking his daughter up and everything is fine, because he was smiling, and it seemed like everything was wonderful, and it just cleared out of my mind then.

- O. What can you tell the jurors about the small merson, if anything?
- A. I estimated the age about 10 years old. The person was leaning forward and had dark bair, and that's the only thing I can describe.
 - O. Do you know how long the hoir was?
 - h. It was short. It was short dark hair.
 - n. Okay.

7

2

٦.

1

E,

(

1

8

0

30

11

12

1 3

7 /

1 .

16

17

7 1:

10

20

2)

22

23

21

21

Would you sten to the diagram maybe again, wike, and show the jurors where you saw the nerson the second time with the child?

- To Pinkt here, right about this fourth -- here's the school crossing again, and right about where these apartments are, say his right about in here in between this third and fourth building right in here (indicating).
 - O. That direction was be going?
 - h. South.
 - O. You can have a neat again there.
 - Tibe, the events you've been telling the jury

IARRY BASICKY - SUPERIOR COURT

16

phort happened on the 17th of September, 1984; is that · Partie 2 richt? 3 Λ. Illi lillia P: O. No you recall what you did on the 18th of September, 1984? ۲, 6 A. A Caterpillar, the Empire dealer flown a 7 bunch of contractors from all over the western United States to the Peoria whant in Illinois to tour their \$ () fretory. O. And how long did you -- how long were you out 10 of town on that tour? 77 A. I was gone 18th, 19, 20, and 21. 12 13 O. Then you returned to Tueson, did you learn through the news wedit that there may be some significance 7 1 to what you had seen on the 17th? 1 0, A. That's right, correct. 16 Tell the jurore what you did when you found 1 (). that out. 35 3 () I contacted my neighbor, who's with the FBI. And what's your neighbor's name? > () 0. Larry Bagley. 21 Λ. 22 And what did -- did Larry make come 0. 23 arrangements for you to meet nomehody? 21. h. No. We just said to be that they'll be 7 r, actting in touch with you.

	3.7
]	O. Pid somehody get in touch with you?
7	A. Yes, about two weeks later, contact with a
3	detactive amad reefe.
Λ	0. Did you know he was coming to see you when he
· ,	came to see you?
6,	A. To.
7	O. Where were you when he came to see you?
E	h. At our construction yard.
(3	O. Did you tell Keefe everything that you were
3 ()	able to tell the jury today?
11	Γ. FO.
12	O. That did you tell Keefe at the time he came
13	to see you?
1 1	A. That I have a black % our in the school zone
3.5	of that came time, and the cry I row in it was real
16	grundic looking, and the car looked dirty, and that was
17.	about it.
3.8	O. What Aid you nealest to tell him at that
30	tine?
20	A. About the second eighting.
21	O. Describe the discumptances of that interview
22	to the jury, please.
23	A. Moll, I didn't know. It was a curprise,
21	because I was in our office, and my job is out in the
25	field. I coordinate all the people in the field to do

Case: 22-70084, 05/17/2022, ID: 12448818, DktEntry: 5-2, Page 54 of 112) (9 various things, and that's enough to bull your hair out 2 right there, but anyway, when I was incide the office, and I was leaving the office, and he approached he in the 3 a. yard, and all of a sudden be identified himself being with ٤, the PBI, and I just all of a sudden, oh. That wasn't my idea what an FBT guy was. He showed me his badge, and he 6 7 asked he what did I see over in the school zone, and I **{**? told hip at the time. And I was in a hurry. 6 I out it short, and I lest. Later, did you see any photographs or 1 () videotene in the pedia that you recounized? 11 12 n. Yer, I did. O. Toll the jury about that, please, if you can 13 1/ (el) then when that was, if you know? 71, 7. It was either late September or October when 76 they showed the car and the guy, the driver of the car, 17 and it fit the description of the person that I saw at 1 1: that time in that area. 10 O. When you saw that on the media, did you have 20 any feeling of recognition or identification? A. Well, I really couldn't see the face -- just 27 one quick -- where you kind of give it one of those 22

(indicating). It just didn't register, but the hair and the car inct lit together.

23

10

Эε,

O. Nov, Dr. Young, do you see the person in the

1 courtroom today that you've been telling the jury about? 9 7 Yes. ٧, () 。 Would you identify him for the jury, please? n. Guy in the blue sports coat. Ь, O. Nov, at a prior court proceeding, did you have an apportunity at that time to try and recognize and €. 'n identify someone? That was the first time I've seen him since 1 **f**: 6 observed him in the acheol zone. 10 O. And on that occasion, did you know that he 1) was doing to be in the courtroom on that day? 1 7 A. No. 1 dian't. 13 O. Did you know that you were going to be called 11 upon to recognize and identify him that day? ° E, Λ_{-} Po, I didn't. 16 O. What's different about the person now as 17 compared to when you can him in front of Homer Davie School on those two occasions? 1 8 19 A. A big difference. 20 n. "ell the jury about that. 21 Bir hair. He had a mass, mass of hair. 7% I said, that's what got my attention originally. It was 13 the hair. I just didn't believe you could but that much hair on cometody's head. That's what got my attention. 71 24 And the heavy eyebrows, dark eyebrows, and the chin.

J Something about the chin stuck out. I don't know why I 2 sow it, and comething went wham. Something seemed to me 1 that was out of proportion or something about the chin. A ur. DAVIC: Thank you very much. ۲. I have no further questions of Mr. Young. THE COUPT: Mr. Bloom? *(*. 1 **(**: CROSS-EXABINATION HV 111: 41.00 1: C 30 O. Good morning, Br. Young. " N. Now are you doing? 17 O. May I proceed, Your Honor?] 3 990 COURS: Certainly, whenever you're ready. 11 O. BY III. BLOOM: Mr. Young, let's see now. You 3 r, said you were going to Ace Arco and going to rent some 16 coninacnt? 17 Λ. Correct. 18 And then you left Aco Arco to return back to 7 (P your place of employment? 20 7. . Right. 21 0. Oray. 27 Mou, nometimes you sign-in and sometimes you sign-out in your place of employment; isn't that right? 73 20 To Yes. 25 O. On this day, there were no sign-ins or

JAMES RABICLY - SUPERIOR COURT

```
77
1
               ().
                  A little ofter 1:15, right?
2
               Λ.
                  Right.
                   Now, you say that this person, when you
2
      looked at the verson, the person suffect?
 1
١,
               T. The driver chiled.
                  Nor. So you haw the driver's face at that
(,
 1
      roint, didn't you?
1:
               1.
                  Ver.
٤;
               0.
                  And you had to see the person -- did you see
) N
      the teeth?
3.3
               12 .
                  Kind of.
12
               0.
                  You naw the lips?
13
                  NOE.
               ?` .
10
               O. And you later described those lips, didn't
15
      You?
) (.
               P. .
                  11000
77
                  You never described the line at all as being
1 (:
      thin or thick?
39
               r. Mover.
20
                  But when you looked at the line, did you just
77
     Seevleened anthody day do door
17
               A. I can his face use smiling.
23
               0. Could you see just a tad above the lin?
11
               h. I didn't study.
25
               DP. DAVIS: Objection; asked and answered.
```

ARREY BRIDGEY - SUPERIOR COURT

78

1 THE COMPT: Objection overruled. O. BY MY. BLOOM: I'm sorry? 1 A. I didn't ctudy. I didn't make a big issue 4 out of his emiling. Like I said, I related to him picking 1. up his denahter or young person, and he was smiling. ſ, 6 turned. He was smiling. In my mind, I justified 1 everything was all right. 8 O. Well, just a minute. You said picked up his O doughter? 1) (I wade a wictake, small or --So it wasn't -- you don't know if it was a 11)? male or iemale? 1 3 what was a bad, I shouldn't have used that 10 POYCE. 15 1. That wer a rlin again, pann't it? 16 That oure was. I'm forry. 71 . 17 And what about now, I'm going to ask you to 3 6 ansver by question, if you could, please. Was there 7 0 anything preventing you from accing, as he smiled, his 20 upner lin? 23 F. Illien he --Was there? Go ahead. Please explain. 22 (). 13 the was, had his hand, his right hand over and 11 his head was turned like this, and when he was coming

around, he raised his head like that and I saw the smile.

25

```
70
1
     That'r all I caw.
 1
                  So you could see --
               ()。
 4
               h. Ro. I don't recall, I don't recall. I
 Λ
     don't see snything there, I'm sorry.
 ٢,
               O. Pleare.
 6
                   You could see his upper lip and his chin,
 ./
     couldn't you, at that time?
 1
               A. I can him cailing.
Ç
                  You could see his upper lip and his chin at
10
      that time, couldn't you?
17
               ID: DAVIS: Objection; asked and answered.
               your country Objection sustained.
12
33
                   Hr. Bloom, he has no recall of what he sow
11
      reference the upper lip and the chin, and you can ask it a
7 15
     dozen timer, and he'll still have no recall.
16
                   can we move on to a new area, please?
11
               O. BY UR. BLOOM: Is there any reason why you
3 6
     don't have any recall of that?
1 (
               A. I just saw him smiling, and I figured, like I
20
      caid before, he was happy, and everything, my mind,
21
     everything kind of fit in place the vey I justified it.
22
               O. When you spoke to Detective Dhaemers in July,
     you enid that the ---
73
71
               PR. DAVIS: Excuse me, what page?
71,
               I'll. BENON'S: FOUR.
```

JAMES SABICKY - SUPERIOR COURT

18

ħ 0. That the person was a girl, didn't you'r 1 Λ. I said a suall person. 3 You said it was a female, didn't you? 0. l, Λ. HO. t, BP. DAVIS: I would like the question and answer 6 road, Pr. Bloom. 7 "Mt. BhOOM: Mr. Davis, you proceed as you like. I yould have in objection, please. Make it to the Court. ٤: 6 THE COURSE Br. Davis, you will have a chance to come back on redirect on all of this if you wish. You may 10 1 read that portion of the transcript, or Dr. Dhaemers will be testifying. You can ask him about it. 12 O. By WR. BLOOM: Opention, page 4. And it, "Correct he if lim brong" --- this is Detective Dhaemers 11 3 5 acking you the cuestion -- "You said" -- and was Mr. Davis there, too? 16 17 1: . Yen. 7 5 "Correct me if l'm wrong. You said 0. 1 6 j that it was approximately an hour to an 20 hour and a half from the 2:30 time from 23 the 2:00 to 2:30 time. Could you tell me again what you hav at that time? 22 "Okay. Weive been doing a lot of 23 21. business in that area. We're doing a lot 24, of dirt work, and I'm always back and forth,

ì

1

. 6

C.

٤,

6

1

81

E۶

10

1]

12

33

1/

7 1;

16

17

1 6

1 ()

20

21

22

23

20

25

france their office is right there.

"And) also recall secine him again, and he was heading south the same -- almost same situation, but he was a little bit further south on Romero than the first time I saw him. And what the first -- that struck me, first thing that struck me was I see that there was a, like, he was the same person was driving, and there was a smaller person, like a child, in the personner's cost. And the child was leaning, and he had a kind of like smile on his face."

A. Evidently 1 did, but I couldn't justify -later then you talked to me, I said it was a small child,
corry.

O. Later you said it could have been even a boy; isn't that right?

h. You, that's right. Child.

O. You didn't see the driver, according to you, touching this person at all, did you?

A. HO.

O. Didn't have their arm around the person in

ARROY BABICKY - SUPERIOR COURT

```
82
1
      any vava
?
               A. HO.
               O. Could you see if the person's -- the smaller
 .5
 1
      nercon's hair use rear
 3
               A. It was derk color.
 6
               O. You can't say it was red?
                   Mo, ) can't.
 7
               Ti .
 13
               O. And, of course, you say you had just a split
      second to see the other person; is that correct?
()
10
               h. Correct.
13
               O. And by "smaller person," you can't even tell
      is it was a child, can you?
12
               A. Yer, I dould.
3.3
10
               ().
                   You just haw a smaller child?
16,
               A. Smaller nerson, right.
16
               O. And the person was leaning forward?
17
                  Leaning forward.
               Α,
1 8
                   um on the scat?
               . ) .
10
                   Sitting in the seat, leaning forward.
20
               O. As you passed the vehicle, were the windows
2)
      cnen?
22
               T. Oricn.
               o. of the other vehicle?
23
11
                   Of the other vehicle.
               7) e
75
               O. Did you hear any noise emanating from the
```

TARREY HARTCRY - SUPERIOR COURS

1.07

give the jury of the time that you were exiting off the interestate onto Ina Road?

- a. I guess about twenty -- twenty to 4:00, right around there.
- O. And which way do you go off of Ina to go to your apartment?
 - A. I do cast.
 - o. okay.

3

1

3

ħ

t.

6,

.

\$3

()

10

11

12

) 3

7 1

71.

16

17

1 8

10

20

21

22

23

11

25

nid you have some trouble before with east and west when you testified?

- A. Yes, I did.
- O. You know now where the sun sets; is that correct?
 - L. Yer.
- O. As you nere driving east on Ina Road, did you notice conclhing that you can remember to tell the jury about?
- A. Nes, I did. I seen a nice looking car. It was coming towards no, and I always look to see if there's a protty girl in there, and I glanced over, and there was a guy -- looke like he was getting a little rough with a kid, because he pulled her back in the seat with one arm, and it sort of caught my eye, and I watched him.
- O. Where was this on Ina Road, it you can tell the jury?

JAMPS RABICKY - SUPERIOR COURS

1.09

1 A. It was a 280%.

3

A

F-7

6

1

(-)

()

10

11

12

13

71

7 6,

16

7.6

3 5:

7 0

20

21

27

23

75

O. Did you notice anything about the license plates?

A. They were California plates, blue with gold lettering.

O. Did you notice anything else in the car except the two people that you've told the jury about?

A. When I looked through the back mirror, it looked like the back of it was macked, maybe covered with a blanket or something.

O. When you drove past the person driving the car that you've just described to the jury, did that person look at you?

A. Yer, be did.

O. Can you describe that to the jury?

A. Oray.

At the time he noticed me looking in the car, and he nolled fervard towards the windshield, sort of critted his teeth, and just stared at me, and we looked eye to eye.

O. What kind of vehicle were you driving?

A. I was driving a 174 Ford pickup.

, O. Mas your vehicle higher than the Datsun car that you've just told the jury about?

A. Yee, H was.

21

5

JAMET BABICKY - SUPERTOR COURT

1)(

)	O. What can you tell the jurors about the child,
2	if anything?
3	A. The child had short hair, and it was
Λ	vann't a very big child. Not a whole lot.
5	0. In that all you can tell the jurors about the
6	child?
7	N. Yes.
8	O. Okay. What can you tell the jurors about the
Ŋ	nerson that was driving the ear?
) (1	A. de had a beard. His hair was pulled back
Ţ	into a ponytail. It was tied back with something, and
12	that's about it.
िर	o. Okay.
) /	How, did you go, then, after passing this car
) · C,	and just drive on and go back to your apartment?
16	A. Yer.
1.7	0. Did you learn later that there might be some
) B	rignificance to what you had seen?
10	n. Yes, 1 313.
20	0. And did you learn that on the 17th of
7.1	Sontomber, 1984?
22	N. Ven, I did.
્ર વ	O. What action did you take on the 17th of
24	Sentember, 1984?
25	A. When I heard that comething had happened and
	}

7	
	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
5) · · · ·	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF PINA
	IN AND FOR IND COUNTY OF FARM
3	
4	THE STATE OF ARIZONA,
	Plaintiff,)
, ,	
6	vs.) NO. CR-15397 CR-14065
7	FRANK JARVIS ATWOOD,
8 :	Defendant.)
n O ∴	
	Tucson, Arizona
0	Hay 14, 1986;
1	
2	BEFORE: The Hon. John G. Hawkins
3	APPEARANCES:
4	John B. Davis, III appearing for the Plaintiff
5	Stanton Bloom
6	appearing for the Défendant.
7	
6 0	
	PRE-TRIAL HEARING
9	
0"	
1	
"	Hichael Hoore Official Court Reporter
 	Pima County Superior Court
3	Tucson, Arizona 85701
4	
5	
.	
. ,	

SUPERIOR COURT PIMA COUNTY

SUPERIOR COURT PIMA COUNTY

Now, did she ever give any indication to Qé you that the plate was obscured from her vision the whole time she was able to observe the plate because her little dog was blocking her vision? No. A Did Nora Wilson ever indicate to you that **----Did-che-bell-yau-on-the-second-occasion** reproduced a unique ay who who keet not depot the world see? Translatere tell-ber being epealf-lo-about likide the vokicios Don't you indicate in your report that on the second sighting she was unable to see inside the Wehicle? I think you say -- I'll read it here on page. 16 2: "Mrs. Wilson stated that after a period of approximately 20 minutes she heard a car on the gravel road to the north of her house, and when she looked out her front window she saw the same Datsun Z southbound on p Tortolite and turning east onto Camino De La Ampola? The was unable to see into the caf. As she saw the rear of the car it was eastbound. She feels it had a white license plate. Those are your notes verbatim; right?

12

13

15

17

19

20

21

22

Yes.

Isn't that what she told you on that day? . Q. Yes. So at least, as far as you were concerned, she was unable, on the second sighting -- she was unable to see inside the car? Apparently, that's true. Now, did she ever indicate to you that when the car was driving away on the second sighting that 9 somehow -- I think at the intersection there with a 10 Camino De La Ampola and Tortolita road there are some 11 traffic-device signs there; is that right? 12 I don't recall seeing any traffic-control 13 elgne. Did she tell you that the car ran off the 14 15 road behind any signs? Did she ever indicate that to 16 you? 17 I don't recall \ no. Did Nora Wilson ever tell you that when she 18 19 saw the driver of this vehicle that that person was leaning out the window? 20 21 I don't recall her mentioning anything 22 about leaning out the window. . 23 Did she ever tell you that she -- that when she first naw this vehicle that she immediately thought 24 that she was going to call the police at that time? 25

1	A. No. She didn't mention anything about
2	calling the police immediately.
3	Q. Did she ever tell you, after she saw the
& .	car the first time, that she had exited her house for
5	any reason to view the car the second time?
6	A. No.
7	Q. Did she indicate to you that she was in her
8	house when she saw the car the second time, standing
9	near the window?
10	A. Yes, in approximately the location as the
11	first sighting.
12	Q. Did she ever tell you that the driver of
13	the vehicle had his elbow out the window?
14	A. No. *
15	Q. Did ake ever indicate to you that the
16	didected this vehicle that since we also delegate any
. 17	tion-directly-abshating-abshating-abshating-abshat?
18	
19	Q. Did she ever tell you that the car was
20	making strange noises?
21	A. No.
22	The second of th
23	amperetr who shad may conside bowe to ade operate?
24	A. No.
25	Q. Did she ever describe the eyes to you at

14 a11? As No. Did she ever tell you that the nose was a Q. bit on the broad side? A. No. Did she ever tell you that during this Q. period of time that she could fix the time because she knew about the school bus, or that school children were in the area? I don't recall any mention of that. Did Nose-Wilson ever-bell-you, outside of 11 12 13 the and were weakle to talk to you? 15 Grammald Meer Wilson giveryours copyanders ny nates the enterined adapte possession? 17. with the massaurables. 18 Is that the only time that you went to her Q. 19 home? 20 A Yes, it is. 21 Was there any arrangement made, when you . Q. 22 left Mrs. Wilson, to be in contact with her at a later 23 time? 24 As I recall, I told her that there would be some follow-up; I don't recall specifically whether I

SUPERIOR COURT PIMA COUNTY

114

1	A. No, sir,
2	Q. And did he tell you that he saw a child in
3	the webicle on the second occasion?
.	AVETERATE NO F - WEEK
5	C. Warden Traport anything to you about a
6	second-sighting-did-ho?
7	hy selet a second sighting or over seeing a
8	
9	Q. And you asked him for a description of the
10	driver, did you not, obviously?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. And he gave you just what I told you, what
13	you indicated, a most limited description; isn't that
16 £	correct?
15	A. It was limited, pasically, to the helr,
16	TERM And Cook.
17	Q. Sex being male, race being white, and the
18	hair being what?
19	A. Long, bushy, and a general appearance that
20	he described as being scrungy.
21	Q. He couldn't give you any further
22	description than that; is that correct?
23	A. That's all I obtained, yes.
24	Q. If he'd have been able to give you more
25	than that, you would have been prepared to record that
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	The second secon

SUPERIOR COURT PIMA COUNTY

			an V
grand)			And was his son also a participant as far
2	as you	knew?	
3	4	Ž	Yes, he was an opponent that day.
4	(Q	Okay. All right.
5			And were you both sitting in the stands
6	togethe	r?	
7		Ž's	Standing behind the stands.
8	•	Q	All right.
9			And die you have conversation with him
10		Z	Yes, Sir.
11		Q	on that occasion about this case?
12		A	I did.
13		Q	Okay.
14			And tell us what that conversation was
15	about.		
16		A	he said that he had meant to tell me
17	somethi:	ng el:	se about the Hoskinson case. He said that
18	he reca	llea (that he had seen the black batsum W-car on
19	Romero	Road v	with the driver and with a small person in
20	it,		
21			And I said to him, "I con't remember your
22	mention	ing th	nat to me on the phone last September. " And
23	he said	, "We	th, I remember it now, and I wanted to tell
24	you abo	ut it.	." I said, "Well, could you tell who the
25	individ	ual i	n the car was?" He said, "I don't know

.

whether it was a male or a remale. It was a small 1 2 person in the iront passenger's seat." I said, "Well, we are not involved in this 3 4 case any longer; you need to talk to a sherill's deputy 5 who is investigating this case, and I will have him contact you." 6 7 And that was pretty much the end of that 8 conversation. 9 Did you kind of tell him that this was not Ω the appropriate forum to discuss this kind of case at 10 this point? 11 12 Well, I either said that to him or I backed away, because there were several other persons standing 13 14 within earshot and I dien't want to go into this any 15 further with some bystanders present. 16 Q But to your knowledge, Agent Bagley, there 17 was absolutely -- as far as you're concerned, no 18 convergation about a second sighting of the black Datsun 19 or a sighting of another person in that black batsun as 20 tar as you were concerned until you had this 21 conversation with him in April or May or 1985.

1,100

22

23

24

25

A That is what I recall.

Q You do not recall having any telephone conversations with him discussing these facts that we just talked about, the second sighting and the passenger

2,23, 87 AM.

1	A 7	PEARANCES	
2			
3	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:		
4	JOHN DAVIS, ATTORNEY AT LAW		
5	FOR THE DEFENDANT:		
6	STANTON BLOOM, ATTORNEY AT LAW		
7	ALLVANIA OI MON		
В		INDEX	
9	WITNESSES:	EXAMINED BY:	ON PAGE:
10	NORA I. WILSON	MR. BLOOM	3
22	2000 EV 9 EV 50	MR. DAVIS	9
11		MR. BLOOM	17
12	DAVID D. THOMAS	MR. DAVIS	21
		MR. BLOOM	23
13		MR. DAVIS	53
14		MR. BLOOM	57
14	PAUL A. PEDERSEN	MR. DAVIS	62
15	PROD NA PEPERBAN	MR. BLOOM	71
16	JOSEPH KAY	MR, DAVIS	85
	VOCAL DE RIVE	MR. BLOOM	90
17		MR. DAVIS	106
		MR. BLOOM	107
18			
	PETER J. POWER	MR. DAVIS	111
19		MP. BLOOM	119
20	VICTOR J. KURK	MR. DAVIS	132
		MR. BLOOM	133
21		MR. DAVIS	148
		MR. PLOOM	150
22			
	GARY L. DHAEMERS	MR. DAVIS	151
2.3		MR. BLOOM	190
24			
25			

1 A. YES, SIR. 2 O. AND DOES IT INDICATE THAT HE WAS A HALF A 3 BLOCK, HE SAW THE CAR A HALF A BLOCK FROM 1-107 4 A. THAT'S WHAT THE CARD SAYS. 5 Q. AND THAT HE SAW A MAN AND A CHILD PICHTING 6 IN THE CAR? 7 A. THAT'S ALSO WHAT IT SAYS, YES. 8 MR. DAVIS: EXCUSE ME, YOUR HONOR. I WOULD LIKE 9 TO OBJECT TO HAVING THE LEAD CARD READ IN BEFORE PROPER 10 FOUNDATION IS LAID. 11 THE COURT: OBJECTION IS OVERRULED. THE ANSWERS 12 MAY STAND. 13 BY MR. BLOOM! 14 Q. ON THE 24TH OF SEPTEMBER, 1984, THAT IS A 15 TIME WEEN YOU ACTUALLY SPOKE TO HIM AT 8:15 IN THE 16 MORNING? 17 A. YES, SIR. 18 O. AND YOU MADE YOUR OWN CARD REFERENCE TO THAT; 19 ISN'T THAT CORRECT? A. WHAT I DID WAS I JOTTED SOME NOTES OF THE 20 CORVERSATION ON THE BACK OF THIS CARD. 21 22 0. OKAY. SO IT WOULD BE THE SAME CARD? 23 A. YES, SIR. 24 O. ONLY JUST THE PLIP SIDE?

25

A .

RIGHT.

VEHICLE WAS SHINY; ISN'T THAT RIGHT? 1 2 A. THAT'S CORRECT. 3 Q. AND HE DIDN'T TELL YOU THAT IT WAS DUSTY OR DIRTY, DID HE? 4 5 A. NO, HE DID NOT. 6 AND HE DIDN'T TELL YOU THAT THE VEHICLE WAS SHINY ON ITE HOOD BUT IT WAS DIRTY -- AND DIRTY ALL 8 AROUND THE OTHER PARTS? 9 Λ. NO, SIR. 10 0. AND HE TOLD YOU THAT IT HAD A TINTED WINDOW, 11 RIGHT? 12 I BELIEVE HE DID, SIR. A. 13 Q. AND THEN HE TOLD YOU IN YOUR NOTE CARD THAT 14 HE DJD NOT GET A GOOD LOOK AT THE DRIVER? 15 A. THAT'S CORRECT. 16 Q. BUT NOTICED IT WAS A MAN? 17 A. YES, SIR. 18 Q. AND IT SAID HE APPEARED TO BE HOLDING A 19 CHILD BACK WITH HIS RIGHT ARM, RIGHT, IS THAT CORRECT? 20 A . THAT'S CORRECT. 21 THE CAR MAY HAVE HAD PINSTRIPES OR SOME KIND 22 OF A DOOR PANELING OR SOMETHING, MOULDING? 23 MOULDING, YES, SIR. 24 AND HE WILL CALL AGAIN IF HE REMEMBERS ANY 0. 25 MORE DETAILS?

1 THAT IS CORRECT. 2 OKAY. NOW, WHEN WE SPOKE, OF COURSE, YOU 3 INDICATED THAT, IN THIS CONVERSATION THAT YOU CERTAINLY WERE CONCERNED ABOUT ANY INFORMATION HE HAD ABOUT THE DRIVER OF THIS CAR, ISN'T THAT RIGHT? 5 6 A . YES, SIR. 7 YEAH. AND AS A POLICEMAN, YOU ASKED HIM TO 0. DESCRIBE WHAT HE COULD TELL YOU ABOUT THE DRIVER, THE 9 CAR AND THE PASSENGER: YOU HAVE TOLD HE THAT? 10 A . YES, SIR. 11 AND YOU ASKED HIM THOSE SPECIFIC QUESTIONS, 12 WHAT CAN YOU TELL ME, ISN'T THAT RIGHT? 13 THAT IS CORRECT. A. 14 Q. AND YOU WERE PREPARED TO TAKE DOWN WHAT HE 15 COULD TELL YOU, ISN'T THAT RIGHT? 16 THAT'S RIGHT. A . 17 O. AND HE DIDN'T TELL YOU ANY MORE THAN WHAT'S 18 IN HERE; ISN'T THAT RIGHT, AT THAT TIME? 19 A. THAT'S CORRECT. 20 O. AND HE DIDN'T TELL YOU ABOUT A DESCRIPTION 21 REGARDING A MUSTACHE OR A BEARD; OR HE DIDN'T TELL YOU ANYTHING LIKE THAT, DID HE? 2.2 23 NOT ON THAT FIRST TELEPHONE CONVERSATION, NO. 24 Q. THAT'S RIGHT. AND HE DIDN'T DESCRIBE A 25 CHILD TO YOU IN ANY WAY, DID HE?

1 NO, SIR. 2 AND HE DIDN'T TELL YOU THAT THE CAR HAD CALIFORNIA PLATES, DID HE --3 4 no, SIR. 5 O. -- AT THAT TIME? 6 AND HE DIDN'T DESCRIBE THE COLOR OF THE PLATE, DID HE? 7 Α. NOT --9 Q. AT THAT TIME? 10 A. NOT DURING THAT FIRST CONVERSATION, NO. 11 WHAT I'M GOING TO ASK YOU ABOUT -- WE WILL 12 GET TO THE SECOND ONE, I KNOW YOU WANT TO TELL US ABOUT 13 THAT, WE WILL GET TO THAT, OKAY? LET'S TALK ABOUT THIS 14 ONE NOW. 15 IN PACT, HE DIDN'T DESCRIBE THE CHILD AT ALLI 16 ISN'T THAT RIGHT? 17 THAT'S CORRECT. A. AND YOU WEREN'T IN A HURRY, WERE YOU? 18 19 A. NO, SIR. 20 AND YOU DIDN'T RUSH HIM, DID YOU? 0. I WOULD HOPE NOT. 21 Λ. I MEAN, IF HE HAD SOMETHING TO SAY, YOU WERE 22 0. 23 THERE TO LISTEN TO IT, RIGHT? 24 YES, SIR. A . 25 0. THAT WAS YOUR JOR?

3 ۸. YES. 2 AND HE DIDN'T TELL YOU THAT HIS -- THAT THIS 3 PERSON LOOKED LIKE SOME PRIEND OF HIS OR SOME PERSON HE KNEW IN HIGH SCHOOL, DID HE? 5 A. HE MADE NO MENTION OF THAT. 6 IN PACT, HE NEVER MADE ANY MENTION OF THAT Q. TO YOU, DID HE? 7 8 A . NEVER. WHEN YOU SAN HIM ON OCTOBER 2ND, WHICH IS 0. THE DATE YOU SAW HIM AT THE BOSA DONUT SHOP, RIGHT? 10 11 A. RIGHT. 12 YOU WENT TO SER HIM PERSONALLY? 13 THAT'S CORRECT. λ. 14 0. NEVER TOLD YOU AROUT ANY HIGH SCHOOL FRIEND 15 THAT HE GRADUATED WITH THAT LOOKED LIKE THE DRIVER? 16 NO, HE DIDN'T. A. 17 O. AND HE DIDN'T DESCRIBE TO YOU WHEN YOU SPOKE TO HIM THE PIRST TIME ABOUT THAT HE COULD SEE THE BACK 18 19 LICENSE PLATE? 20 A . NO, HE DIDN'T. Q. OR HE COULD SEE WRITING ON THE BACK OF THE 21 22 CAR? 23 ٨. NO, HE MENTIONED NOTHING ABOUT ANY WRITING. 24 OKAY. AND HE DIDN'T TELL YOU ABOUT --0. 25 DESCRIBE THE PERSON'S HAIR AT ALL, DID HE?

1 NO, HE DIDN'T. HE DIDN'T TELL YOU IT WAS PULLED BACK OR 3 ANYTHING LIKE THAT? NOT DURING THAT PIRST CONVERSATION. 5 THEN YOU WROTE UP YOUR REPORT ON THIS MATTER, RIGHT? 6 7 A. ON THE TELEPHONE CONVERSATION, YES. AND THE NOTES YOU WOULD HAVE HAD, WOULD HAVE BEEN THOSE NOTES FROM THIS, FROM THIS CARD, IS THAT 10 RIGHT? 11 A. THAT'S CORRECT. 12 WERE THERE ANY OTHER NOTES? 0. A . 13 IT'S POSSIBLE THERE WERE. 14 0. BUT, YOU MAY HAVE MISPLACED THOSE NOTES? OCCASIONALLY -- ONCE I HAVE COMPLETED A 15 A. 16 WRITTEN REPORT, I WILL DESTROY THE NOTES. 17 AND IN THAT REPORT YOU INDICATED MUCH THE SAME AS THE CARD, RIGHT? 18 A. 19 THAT'S CORRECT. 20 0. AND PUT DOWN THERE THAT THE DRIVER -- EXCUSE 21 ME -- THAT MR. MCCORMICK, QUOTE, YOU SAID HE COULD NOT 22 REMEMBER ANY DETAILS OF THE DRIVER'S APPEARANCE. 23 A. THAT IS CORRECT. 24 O. OBVIOUGLY THAT WAS IN RESPONSE TO THE FACT 25 THAT YOU ASKED HIM IF HE COULD GIVE YOU ANY DETAILS

1 ABOUT THE DRIVER'S APPEARANCE? 2 A. YES, SIR. 3 OR ANY FURTHER DETAILS ABOUT THE CAR OR THE 4 PASSENGER; ISN'T THAT RIGHT? 5 THAT'S CORRECT. A . 6 O. AND HE SIMPLY WAS UNABLE TO DO THAT? 7 A. YES, SIR. 8 Q. DID HE TELL YOU THAT HE SAW, AT THAT TIME 9 THAT HE SAW ANYTHING IN THE BACK SEAT? 10 A. DURING THE FIRST TELEPHONE CONVERSATION? 11 O. YES. 12 NO. HE DID NOT. A. 13 DID HE TELL YOU THE CAR WAS PILLED UP WITH 0. 14 ANYTHING IN THE BACK SEAT? 15 NO, SIR, HE DID NOT. A . DID HE TELL YOU THAT HE SAW A BLANKET? 16 0. 17 NO, SIR. DID HE TELL YOU -- AT THAT TIME COULD HE 18 19 DESCRIBE THE DRIVER'S HAIR COLOR? 20 ٨. NO, HE DID NOT. 21 DID HE EVER TELL YOU THAT THE DRIVER HAD A 0. 22 LONG PACE? 23 A. NO, SIR. 24 0. DID HE TELL YOU THAT HE HAD A ROUND NOSE? NO, SIR. 25 A .

) O. OR A LARGE NOSE? A. NO, SIR. Q. OR THIN LIPS? A. NO. Q. DID HE EVER MENTION ANY ANTENNA ON THE CAR? A. HE DID NOT. Q. DID HE DESCRIBE THE PERSON'S SHIRT AT ALL? A. NO. Q. IN FACT, WHEN YOU SAY -- WHEN YOU ASKED FOR A DESCRIPTION, YOU ALSO INCLUDE CLOTHING, ISN'T THAT 11 RIGHT? 12 A. YES, SIR, ANYTHING THE WITHESS HIGHT HAVE RECALLED SEEING. 13 14 Q. FACE OF CLOTHING? 15 A. THAT'S CORRECT. 16 O. AND HE COULDN'T GIVE YOU ANY DESCRIPTION OF AUY CLOTHING: ISN'T THAT RIGHT? 17 18 THAT'S CORRECT. DID HE TELL YOU THIS PERSON HAD A HEAVY 19 0. 20 MUSTACHE? 21 WE'RE SPEAKING OF THE FIRST CONVERSATION? THAT'S RIGHT. 22 0. 23 ۸. NO, HE DID NOT. 24 Q. INCIDENTALLY, THERE WAS CONSTRUCTION IN THIS 25 AREA THAT HE TALKS ABOUT, RIGHT?

1 SND3 2 A. THAT'S CORRECT. AND THIS WAS -- YOU JUST DIDN'T MAKE A 3 REPORT ON THIS; ISN'T THAT RIGHT? THAT'S CORRECT. AND AS YOU SAID, THIS WAS JUST AN OVERSIGHT OR MISTAKE ON YOUR PART? ٨. YES, SIR. NOW, WHEN YOU SAW HIM ON THE SECOND OCCASION 0. 10 DID HE TELL YOU ANYTHING ABOUT SEEING WRITING ON THE CAR 11 AT ALL? 12 NO. A. 13 DID HE TELL YOU ABOUT THE CAR BEING --14 DISTINCUISHING BETWEEN SHINY, DUSTY, DIRTY, DID HE DO 15 THAT ON THIS OCCASION? 16 I DON'T BELIEVE HE DID. 17 NOW, WHEN YOU SAW HIM, ISN'T THIS AT A TIME 18 WHEN HE -- HE HAD ALREADY SEEN SOMETHING ON TELEVISION? 19 A. YES, HE HAD. 20 DID YOU HAVE SOME PHOTOS? DID YOU HAVE SOME 21 PICTURES OF MR. ATWOOD? 22 I WAS PROBABLY IN POSSESSION OF AT LEAST ONE 23 PHOTOGRAPH OF MR. ATWOOD AT THE TIME. 24 OKAY. AND DID YOU SHOW HIM SOME PHOTOGRAPHS? 0. 25 I DON'T RECALL SHOWING HIM ANY PHOTOGRAPHS,

1 SIR. 7 SO IF HE SAYS HE LOOKED AT A PHOTOGRAPH AND 3 COULDN'T IDENTIFY IT, IS THAT TRUE OF NOT TRUE? OR YOU DON'T REMEMBER? 5 I DON'T RECALL. 6 O. YOU DON'T KNOW IF YOU DISPLAYED THE PICTURE OF MR. ATKOOD TO HIM IN SOME FORM OR ANOTHER OR NOT? 7 I DON'T RECALL. 8 AND YOU -- SO THEREFORE, YOU DON'T RECALL Q. WHAT HE MIGHT HAVE SAID ABOUT IT EITHER, OBVIOUSLY, 10 RIGHT? 11 12 THAT'S CORRECT. A . 13 AND DID HE INDICATE TO YOU THAT HE HAD SEEN 14 PHOTOGRAPHS OF MR. ATWOOD? 15 HE TOLD ME HE HAD SEEN THE TELEVISION NEWS A. 16 COVERAGE. 17 HE DIDN'T TELL YOU ANYTHING ABOUT PHOTOGRAPHS, RIGHT? 18 19 I DON'T PECALL IF HE SPECIFICALLY MENTIONED 20 A PHOTOGRAPH AS CONTRASTED TO THE NEWS FILM FOOTAGE.

WELL, WHEN YOU SAW HIM ON OCTOBER 2ND, HE

KNEW, DID HE NOT, THAT MR. ATWOOD HAD BEEN ARRESTED?

AND YOU KNEW THAT, TOO, DIDN'T YOU?

YES, HE DID.

YES, I DID.

21

22

23

24

25

A .

۸.

0.

04-FJA011822

3 O. WHEN YOU SAW HIM ON THE SECOND -- WHEN YOU EAN HIM, I GUESS WOULD BE YOUR FIRST OCCASION OF ACTUALLY SEEING HIM, COULD HE DESCRIBE THE PASSENGER TO 3 4 YOU? I BELIEVE AT THIS TIME THE ONLY STATEMENT HE Α. MADE WAS HE DESCRIBED SOME OF THE ACTIONS THAT WERE 7 GOING ON AND THE FACT THAT THIS CHILD HAD SHORT HAIR. O. AND DID HE SAY THAT IT LOOKED LIKE THE PICTURE THAT HE HAD SEEN ON TELEVISION? A. I DON'T RECALL SPECIFICALLY. I WOULD HAVE 1.0 11 TO REFRESH MY MEMORY BY LOOKING AT MY NOTES. 12 O. IF YOUR NOTE SAYS, AND THE KID HAD SHORT HAIR LIKE PIC, WOULD THAT BE LIKE PICTURE? 13 14 A. THAT'S PROBABLY EXACTLY WHAT HE SAID, THEN. Q. SO THAT WOULD BE IN REFERENCE TO THE PICTURE 15 16 THAT WAS ON TELEVISION OR SOME PICTURE HE SAW OF VICKI 17 LYNN HOSKINSON? 18 A. RIGHT. IT WAS HIGHLY PUBLICIZED: IT WAS IN 19 THE NEWSPAPERS, ON TELEVISION. 20 MR. BLOOM: OKAY. MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS. 21 YOUR HONOR? THE COURT: YES. 22 23 BY MR. BLOOM: 24 Q. I SHOW YOU WHAT HAS BEEN MARKED AAJ, WRICH 25 HAS BEEN IN IDENTIFICATION.

1	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
2	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA
3	
4	STATE OF ARIZONA,
5	PLAINTIFF,)
6	-VS- CR-14065
7	FRANK JARVIS ATWOOD,
8	DEFENDANT.)
9	
10	
11	PHOENIX, ARIZONA
12	FEBRUARY 19, 1987
13	A.M. SESSION
14	
15	
16	BEFORE: THE HONORABLE JOHN G. HAWKINS, JUDGE
17	
18	
19	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
20	
21	
22	;
23	
24	SANDRA G. BOWLING
25	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
	The same of the sa

MAKING A LOT OF NOISE AND JUMPING BACK AND FORTH. 1 2 HOW LONG DID YOU GET A CHANCE TO OBSERVE WHAT YOU'VE JUST TOLD THE JURY ABOUT AT THAT TIME? 3 A. AT THAT TIME I STOOD AT THE WINDOW FOR AT LEAST A 4 5 MINUTE. WHAT DID YOU SEE THE DRIVER DO DURING THAT MINUTE? 6 Q. WHEN I FIRST CAME TO THE WINDOW, THE DRIVER HAD HIS 7 ELBOW PROPPED UP WHERE THE WINDOW -- THE WINDOW WAS ROLLED 8 DOWN, AND HE WAS LOOKING OVER HIS LEFT SHOULDER AND APPEARED TO 9 10 BE GAZING IN THE DIRECTION OF THE HOUSE SOUTH OF MY IMMEDIATE 11 NEIGHBOR TO THE SOUTH. O. AND WHAT WAS THE CHILD DOING? 12 13 A. THE CHILD WAS SITTING QUITE UPRIGHT, VERY RIGID AND AWAY FROM THE BACK OF THE, OF THE SEAT OF THE CAR AND LOOKING 14 15 STRAIGHT AHEAD. CAN YOU TELL THE JURORS WHAT YOU CAN REMEMBER ABOUT 16 O. 17 THE DRIVER'S APPEARANCE? A. THE DRIVER APPEARED TO BE VERY UNKERT. HE HAD LONG 18 19 HAIR THAT APPEARED TO BE VERY TANGLED. IT FELL FROM THE CENTER OF HIS HEAD AND CAME OUT AND CAME DOWN ALONG THE SIDE (WITNESS 20 INDICATING). AND THERE WAS HAIR THAT WAS COMPLETELY COVERING 21 THE PRONT OF HIS FACE. HE DIDN'T APPEAR TO BE TOO CLEAN. 22 Q. WHAT VIEWS DID YOU HAVE OF THAT PERSON'S FACE? 23 24 ON THAT INSTANCE, I -- WELL, ACTUALLY I VIEWED HIS

RIGHT PROFILE FIRST. AS HE TURNED AROUND, I VIEWED A PRONTAL

- 1 VIEW OF HIS FACE, HIS LEFT PROFILE AND AGAIN A FRONTAL VIEW OF
- 2 HIS FACE.
- 3 Q. WHAT -- WHERE DID THE CAR GO AS YOU WERE OBSERVING
- 4 IT ON THAT OCCASION?
- 5 A. WHEN THE DRIVER OF THE CAR ATTEMPTED TO PUT THE CAR
- 6 IN MOTION, WHEN IT FINALLY TOOK HOLD, IT WENT NORTH -- OUR
- 7 PROPERTY IS THE LAST HOUSE ON THAT ROAD WHERE IT TERMINATES.
- 8 IT CUT ACROSS TO A ROAD THAT GOES ALONG THE NORTHERN SIDE OF
- 9 THE PROPERTY, TRAVELING WEST.
- 10 Q. OKAY. WHEN YOU SAY THE DRIVER FINALLY GOT IT IN
- 11 MOTION, WHAT DO YOU MEAN?
- 12 A. THE CAR WAS BEHAVING VERY STRANGELY AND MAKING
- 13 STRANGE NOISES. WHEN HE ATTEMPTED TO PULL AWAY, HE SEEMED TO
- 14 HAVE PROBLEMS GETTING THE CAR IN, IN GEAR, AND IT WAS A NUMBER
- of seconds before the Car actually seemed to take Hold.
- 16 Q. OKAY. WHAT DO YOU REMEMBER ABOUT THE CAR THAT YOU
- 17 CAN TELL THE JURORS?
- 18 A. I IMMEDIATELY RECOGNIZED THE CAR AS A DATSUN SPORTS
- 19 CAR ONLY BECAUSE I HAD HAD A PRIEND WHO OWNED ONE THAT APPEARED
- 20 LIKE IT.
- 21 THE CAR WAS DIRTY, VERY DUSTY, IT WAS MAKING ALMOST
- 22 A CRUNCHING NOISE. AND WHILE THE DRIVER DIDN'T APPEAR TO HAVE
- 23 HIS HANDS ON ANY CONTROLS OF THE CAR, THE CAR SEEMED TO BE
- 24 LURCHING AS IT WAS IN THAT POSITION.
- 25 Q. DO YOU RECALL THE COLOR OF THE CAR?

Q. AND DID HE BECOME INVOLVED WITH THE OTHER 1 2 VOLUNTEERS IN THE SEARCH FOR VICKI LYNNE HOSKINSON? A. EVENTUALLY. 3 á Q. OKAY. WHEN, WHEN DID YOUR HUSBAND BECOME INVOLVED 5 IN THAT SEARCH? A. FRIDAY. Q. WHEN WAS THE FIRST DAY THAT YOU TRIED TO BRING TO 7 8 ANY LAW ENFORCEMENT OR SEARCH AND RESCUE PERSON -- BRING TO THEIR ATTENTION THE EVENTS THAT YOU'VE JUST TOLD THE JURY 9 10 ABOUT? 11 A. SATURDAY AFTERNOON. Q. OKAY, AND WHO WAS IT THAT YOU TRIED TO TELL ABOUT 12 13 THESE EVENTS ON SATURDAY AFTERNOON? A. I WENT TO THE BUILDING THAT I ONLY KNEW AS A 14 COMMAND POST AND ASKED FOR PERMISSION TO ENTER. 15 Q. OKAY. AND WERE YOU ABLE TO TELL SONEONE ABOUT 16 17 THESE EVENTS AT THAT TIME? A. NO, THERE WAS A PERSON OUTSIDE THE DOOR WHO HAD 18 TOLD ME THAT ONLY CERTAIN PERSONS GO IN -- COULD GO INTO THE 19 20 BUILDING. 21 Q. WAS THIS COMMAND POST THE ONE THAT WAS COORDINATING 22 THE SEARCH? A. I'M REALLY NOT POSITIVE. IT WAS AT A FACILITY ON 23

Q. OKAY. WERE YOU ABLE, HOWEVER, LATER TO REPORT WHAT

SILVERBELL ROAD.

24

1	YOU HAD SEEN TO THE INVESTIGATORS?
2	A. YES.
3	Q. ORAY. AND DID THE POLICE OR LAW ENFORCEMENT PEOPLE
4	COME TO SEE YOU ABOUT THAT?
5	A. YES, THEY DID.
6	Q. OKAY. WOULD YOU TELL THE JURORS WHEN THAT WAS,
7	PLEASE?
8	A. MONDAY MORNING.
9	Q. AND THIS WOULD HAVE BEEN A WEEK AFTER YOU HAD SEEN
10	THE CAR IN FRONT OF YOUR HOUSE?
11	A. THAT'S CORRECT.
12	Q. SO WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IF I ADD CORRECTLY, THAT
13	WOULD BE THE 24TH OF SEPTEMBER. WOULD THAT BE RIGHT 1984?
14	A. I BELIEVE SO.
15	Q. OKAY.
16	MR. BLOOM: EXCUSE ME, MR. DAVIS.
17	COULD WE APPROACH THE BENCH, PLEASE?
18	THE COURT: YES.
19	(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD AT THE BENCH:)
20	MR. BLOOM: JUDGE, I WOULD LIKE TO OBJECT TO ANY
21	CONVERSATIONS, ANY INFORMATION THAT WAS HAD AS A RESULT OF THAT
22	SHE HAD WITH THE POLICE OTHER THAN THE PRE-TRIAL IDENTIFICATION
23	THAT YOU HAVE RULED ON.
24	IT APPEARS TO ME THAT MR. DAVIS HAS, HAS INDICATED

THAT YOU TRIED TO MAKE CONTACT WITH THE POLICE AND HAD SOME

1	CONVERSATIONS ABOUT WHAT SHE TOLD THEM WITHOUT COING INTO THEM,
2	BUT THE INFERENCE BEING SHE DID HAVE THOSE CONVERBATIONS.
3	MY PRECISE OBJECTION NOW IS I BELIEVE HE IS ABOUT
4	TO GO INTO SOME CONVERSATION THAT MRS. WILSON HAD WITH THE
5	POLICE OFFICERS ABOUT THE FACT THAT SHE WAS UNABLE TO IDENTIFY
6	THE DEFENDANT AFTER BEING SHOWED THE PHOTOGRAPH.
7	I WOULD OBJECT TO THAT. I DO NOT THINK IT IS PART
8	OF THE PRE-TRIAL IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE.
9	WHAT HE WHAT THE MOTION WAS ABOUT WAS THAT SHE
10	WAS GOING TO TESTIFY THAT SHE SAW HIM ON TELEVISION AND SAID
11	THAT'S HIM. AND I DON'T THINK THE FACT THAT, THAT SHE DIDN'T
12	IDENTIFY HIM ON OTHER OCCASIONS IS RELEVANT UNLESS IT IS
13	BROUGHT OUT ON CROSS-EXAMINATION. AND I DON'T TRINK THAT THIS
14	IS LIKE DRAWING A STING OF A PRIOR CONVICTION OR SOMETHING OF
15	THAT NATURE WHICH HE HAS DONE IN THIS CASE.
16	I DON'T THINK THAT HE HAS AT THIS POINT THAT IS
17	HEARSAY AND I THINK IT IS ONLY FOR PURPOSES OF IMPEACHMENT.
18	AND I DON'T THINK THAT HE SHOULD BE ABLE TO DRAW IT OUT AT THIS
19	POINT TO SHOW THAT SHE DID NOT MAKE AN IDENTIFICATION. THE
20	PURPOSE OF IT WAS THAT SHE DID MAKE AN IDENTIFICATION IN WHICH
21	YOUR HONOR HAS RULED AS A RESULT OF VIEWING THE DEFENDANT ON
22	TELEVISION.
23	SECONDLY, I AM ALSO CONCERNED ABOUT THIS
24	IDENTIFICATION BECAUSE THERE'S BEEN SOME CONVERSATION ABOUT
25	THAT IT WAS A MUG SHOT AND THAT SHE KNEW ABOUT THE DEPENDANT'S

PRIOR CRIMINAL BACKGROUND AT THE TIME THAT SHE HAD DISCUSSIONS

1

2 ABOUT THIS PARTICULAR PHOTO. 3 SO I AM OBJECTING ON THAT GROUND, AND TOO I WOULD HOPE THAT MR. DAVIS IS WELL AWARE OF THE POTENTIAL PREJUDICE 5 THAT MIGHT OCCUR IF MRS. WILSON WAS ABLE TO SAY ANYTHING OF б THAT NATURE REGARDING THAT PHOTOGRAPH. 7 THE COURT: AS TO THE LATTER FIRST, HAVE YOU CAUTIONED HER? 8 MR. DAVIS: YES, I HAVE CAUTIONED HER. AND I HAD 9 PLANNED TO SOMEWHAT LEAD THROUGH THAT, AND SO TEAT MY WORDS 10 11 WOULD BE WORDS RELATING TO THE PHOTOGRAPH AND SO THAT SHE WOULD DO THAT. WORDS TO THE EFFECT OF DID THEY SHOW YOU A 12 13 PHOTOGRAPH, WERE YOU ABLE TO MAKE AN IDENTIFICATION FROM THAT 14 PHOTOGRAPH SO THAT THE BALL IS NEVER OVER IN HER COURT. BUT I SPECIFICALLY TOLD HER ABOUT THOSE THINGS AT THE BREAK. 15 16 THE COURT: BUT DO YOU INTEND TO GO INTO THE FAILURE TO 17 IDENTIFY? 18 MR. DAVIS: YES, YOUR HONOR. I HAVEN'T, I HAVEN'T HEARD 19 EVIDENTIARY OBJECTION TO THAT. WHAT HAS BEEN OBJECTED TO IS. IF YOU BOIL DOWN WHAT MR. BLOOM SAYS, IS I WANT THE TACTICAL 20 21 ADVANTAGE OF BRINGING THAT UP FIRST TO THE JURY. 22 HE DOESN'T SAY IT IS NOT RELEVANT. HE DOESN'T SAY 23 IT IS NOT SOMETHING THAT SHOULD BE GONE INTO. HE SAYS I WANT TO DO IT SO I CAN PRETEND IN FRONT OF THE JURY THAT MR. DAVIS 24 25 DOESN'T WANT THEM TO HEAR ABOUT THAT.

1	SO IT IS OBVIOUSLY RELEVANT IF HE WANTS TO DO IT.
2	SO, SO WHAT IS THE OBJECTION TO ME DOING IT? IT IS RELEVANT.
3	THIS PERSON IS BEING CALLED TO IDENTIFY, AND ALL THE
4	CIRCUMSTANCES CONCERNING THAT IDENTIFICATION ARE RELEVANT TO
5	THE JURY. AND I THINK I CAN BRING THAT FORWARD ON DIRECT
6	EXAMINATION.
7	THIS IS BASICALLY A MOTION TO PRESERVE A TACTICAL
8	ADVANTAGE FOR MR. BLOOM. IT IS UNFOUNDED, IT IS NOT BASED ON
9	ANY AUTHORITY AND I THINK IT WOULD BE IMPROPER TO TELL ME THAT
10	I CAN'T DO THAT AND HAVE MR. BLOOM DO IT ON CROSS-EXAMINATION.
11	THE RELEVANCY OF IT IS MUCH THE SAME AS THE
12	PREVIOUS ARGUMENTS THAT WE HAVE MADE. IT HAS TO DO WITH HER
13	ABILITY TO RECOGNIZE AND IDENTIFY. IT IS PART OF THE
14	CIRCUMSTANCES ABOUT WHICH SHE BECAME INVOLVED IN THIS CASE, AND
15	I THINK I AM CERTAINLY ALLOWED TO ASK IT ON DIRECT BECAUSE IF
16	IT IS IRRELEVANT IT IS IRRELEVANT FOR BOTH. AND MR. BLOOM
17	ISN'T EVEN PRETENDING THAT THERE'S NOT A RELEVANCY TO IT.
18	THE COURT: MR. BLOOM, DO YOU WANT TO ADD ANYTHING
19	ELSE?
20	MR. BLOOM: NO, YOUR HONOR.
21	THE COURT: THE OBJECTION IS OVERRULED.
22	YOU MAY PROCEED.
23	(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN
24	COURT:)

1	BY MR. DAVIS:
2	Q. MR. WILSON, I THINK WE WERE DISCUSSING THE TIME ON
3	THE FOLLOWING MONDAY IN SEPTEMBER WHEN THE POLICE CAME TO SEE
4	YOU.
5	A. YES.
6	Q. OKAY. AND THEY CAME TO SEE YOU AT YOUR HOUSE, IS
7	THAT RIGHT?
8	A. YES.
9	Q. OKAY. AND ON THAT OCCASION DID THEY SHOW YOU A
10	PHOTOGRAPH?
11	MR. BLOOM: YOUR HONOR, COULD WE HAVE A LITTLE MORE
12	FOUNDATION LAID, PLEASE?
13	THE COURT: MR. DAVIS, JUST THE USUAL FOUNDATION
14	QUESTIONS FIRST.
15	MR. DAVIS: CERTAINLY, YOUR HONOR.
16	
17	BY MR. DAVIS:
18	Q. THIS WAS MONDAY THE 24TH OF SEPTEMBER, IS THAT
19	RIGHT?
20	A. YES.
21	Q. OKAY. DO YOU RECALL WHAT TIME OF THE DAY IT WAS
22	WHEN THEY CAME TO SEE YOU?
23	A. NINE A.M.
24	Q. OKAY. AND DO YOU RECALL HOW MANY PEOPLE CAME TO

SEE YOU INITIALLY ON THAT DAY AT THAT TIME?

1	A. THERE WERE TWO MEN.
2	Q. ALL RIGHT. AND DID THEY COME INTO YOUR HOUSE OR
3	DID THEY TALK TO YOU ON THE PORCH?
4	A. THEY DID COME INTO THE HOUSE ALTHOUGH I DO
5	MR. BLOOM: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
6	THE COURT: THAT ANSWERS THE QUESTION.
7	ASK A NEW QUESTION.
8	
9	BY MR. DAVIS:
10	Q. WHERE DID THEY TALK TO YOU? WHERE DID YOU SPEAK
11	WITH THEM?
12	A. WE SPOKE IN THE LIVING ROOM.
13	Q. AND DID THEY SHOW YOU A PHOTOGRAPH AT THAT TIME?
14	A. NO.
15	Q. LATER ON THAT DAY DID THEY SHOW YOU A PHOTOGRAPH?
16	A. NO.
17	Q. HOW LONG DID YOU TALK WITH THE POLICE OFFICERS ON
18	THAT OCCASION?
19	A. ONLY ABOUT TEN MINUTES.
20	MR. BLOOM: I AM SORRY, JUDGE. WHICH OCCASIONS IS HE
21	TALKING ABOUT?
22	MR. DAVIS: TWENTY-FOURTH OF SEPTEMBER.
23	MR. BLOOM: BUT SHE SAID TWO TIMES.
24	MR. DAVIS: WE HAVEN'T GOTTEN TO THE SECOND TIME YET.
25	THE COURT: IT IS NOT CLEAR THOUGH BECAUSE YOU MADE

2	REFERENCE TO TALKING TO THEM ON THE 24TH. FIRST TIME?
2	
3	BY MR. DAVIS:
4	Q. OKAY. DID THEY TALK TO YOU MORE THAN ONCE ON THE
5	24TH?
6	A. NO.
7	Q. SO THE ONLY TIME THEY TALKED TO YOU ON THE 24TH
8	THERE WERE TWO MEN; IS THAT RIGHT?
9	A. YES.
10	Q. THEY DID NOT SHOW YOU A PHOTOGRAPH?
11	A. NO.
12	Q. AND THEY STAYED WITH YOU ABOUT HOW LONG?
13	A. TEN MINUTES.
14	Q. OKAY. NOW, WHAT WAS YOUR CONDITION AT THE TIME
15	THAT YOU TALKED TO THEM ON THE 24TH?
16	MR. BLOOM: OBJECTION TO THE RELEVANCY, YOUR HONOR.
17	THE COURT: OBJECTION IS OVERRULED.
18	YOU MAY ANSWER THE QUESTION.
19	THE WITNESS: I HAD FIRST- AND SECOND-DEGREE SUNBURN, I
20	WAS HAVING CONSIDERABLE BACK PAIN AND I HAD TAKEN A CODEINE
21	APPROXIMATELY 20 MINUTES BEFORE THEIR ARRIVAL.
22	
23	BY MR. DAVIS:
24	Q. DID YOU KNOW THEY WERE GOING TO COME SEE YOU ON THE
25	24TH?

1	A,	NO.
2	Q.	DID THEY COME TO SEE YOU ON DID PROPLE FROM LAW
3	enforcement	COME TO SEE YOU AT A LATER OCCASION?
4	Α,	THE NEXT DAY.
5	Q.	AND ABOUT WHAT TIME DID THEY COME SEE YOU THE NEXT
б	DAY?	
7	λ.	MY HUSBAND ARRIVED HOME FROM WORK AT THE SAME TIME
8	THEY ARRIVE	D. SO I WOULD ASSUME IT WAS PERHAPS 6:00 OR 6:30 IN
9	THE EVENING	J.
10	Q.	AND HOW MANY PEOPLE CAME TO TALK TO YOU AT THAT
11	TIME?	
12	Α.	THERE WERE QUITE A PEW PEOPLE BUT ONLY TWO CAME ON
13	TO THE PORC	CH TO TALK TO ME.
14	Q.	AND YOU TALKED TO THEM ON THE PORCH; IS THAT RIGHT?
15	Α.	YES.
16	Q.	DID THEY SHOW YOU A PHOTOGRAPH AT THAT TIME?
17	λ.	YES.
18	Q.	WERE YOU ABLE TO IDENTIFY THAT PHOTOGRAPH AT THAT
19	TIME?	
20	A.	I WAS ABLE TO.
21	Q.	LET ME ASK YOU THIS. DID YOU MAKE AN
22	IDENTIFICAT	TION AT THAT TIME?
23	A.	I REFUSED TO DO THAT.
24	Q.	OKAY. AND WHAT WAS THE REASON THAT YOU REFUSED TO

25

DO THAT?

1	MR. BLOOM: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
2	THE COURT: OBJECTION IS OVERRULED.
3	THE WITNESS: THE PHOTOGRAPH THAT I WAS SHOWED WAS VERY,
4	VERY STARK WITH VERY SEVERE CONTRAST, AND I FELT THAT THERE WAS
5	A POSSIBILITY OF SOME DISTORTION. FOR THOSE REASONS
6	
7	BY MR. DAVIS:
8	Q. WERE THERE A NUMBER OF LAW ENFORCEMENT PEOPLE
9	AROUND YOUR HOME ON THAT EVENING?
10	A. YES.
11	Q. AND WERE THEY SEARCHING IN AREAS NEAR YOUR HOME?
12	A. THEY WENT OVER, OVER THE AREA, YES.
13	Q. HOW LONG DID YOU TALK TO THE LAW EMPORCEMENT PROPLE
14	ON THAT OCCASION?
15	A. I IMAGINE IT COULD HAVE BEEN UP TO A HALF HOUR.
16	MR. DAVIS: YOUR HONOR, AT THIS TIME
17	MR. BLOOM: COULD WE HAVE SOME FOUNDATION, YOUR HONOR,
18	AS TO IF SHE KNOWS WHO THESE PEOPLE ARE ON THAT SECOND
19	OCCASION?
20	THE COURT: MR. DAVIS?
21	
22	BY MR. DAVIS:
23	Q. DO YOU KNOW WHO THE PEOPLE WERE THAT CAME TO SEE
24	YOU ON THE SECOND OCCASION?
25	A. ONE OF THE MEN IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS BEING AN

1	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
2	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF PIMA FILED 35
3	MAR 1 3 1987 - 11 Am
4	State of Arizona, By 10 EGAN CAG Doppery
5	Plaintiff,
6	v. No. CR 14065
7	Frank Jarvis Atwood,
8	Defendant.) RECEIVED
9	JAMES M. CORBETT. Clerk
10	Both Deputy
11	Phoenix, Arizona March 9, 1987
12	March 9, 1907
13	
14	BEFORE: The Honorable John G. Hawkins, Judge CR - 87-0135
15	FILED
	JUN 22 1987
16 17	DAVID R. COLE CLERK SUPREME COURT
	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
18	EXCERPT OF TESTIMONY OF KONNIE KOGER
19	
20	
21	
22	Au .
23	
24	Colleen M. Kidwell Court Reporter
25	

1 said to just call and just tell them if that's what you saw, 2 that's what you saw. If it wasn't, that was fine, too. And 3 I did. And when they asked me what I saw, I had described 4 what I had seen, the little girl and the woman. And I --5 Q. Go ahead. A. I had said, if this was anything, then fine. 6 7 If it wasn't, that was also fine. But I thought that I should just tell them what I saw. 8 9 Q. Okay. I'm going show you Defendant's Exhibit E and ask, may I approach, with the court's permission? 10 11 THE COURT: Yes, you may. 12 Q. (By Mr. Bloom): Defendant's E and ask if you have ever seen this paper before. 13 14 A. Yes. That was the composite we drew. 15 Q. Is that the composite that you told Kathy Bright about and that she sketched for you? 16 17 A. That is right. 18 Q. Okay. And that was based on things that you 19 told her about the person that you saw? 20 A. Right. 21 Q. Okay. Did there come an occasion that -- I'm going show you Defendant's Exhibit AAN, did there come an 22 occasion that you have ever seen this picture before? 23 24 A. Yes.

Q. When did you first see that picture?

- 1 A. I believe it was a few days after that that 2 they came back and they showed me the picture of this woman 3 and asked if she was the woman that was with the child. 4 Is that -- you mean by they you mean the 5 police? 6 That's right. A. 7 Okay. Mrs. Koger, did you have an opportunity 8 to have this woman or some woman displayed to you by the 9 police? 10 A. Yes, I did. 11 Q. Tell us how that happened. 12 A. They came and they picked me up at work from 13 the Cartoon Junction. This was Peterson, I believe, and we 14 drove over to the trailer park where she lived, and she was 15 standing outside. And we drove by three or four times, and 16 I looked at her through the window of the car as we were 17 going by three or four times. 18 Q. Okay. Were you able to positively identify 19 her? 20 A. I said at the time that she looked like the 21
 - A. I said at the time that she looked like the woman that I had seen. She was quite a distance away from me. She did look like the woman that I had seen, but I did say that I needed to hear her voice because it was the voice that I remembered.
- Q. Okay. You weren't able to make at that time a

22

23

positive identification, is that right? 1 2 A. No, I did not. 3 Q. As you look at that picture now, does that picture resemble anything of the person that you saw at the 4 5 mall? 6 A. Yes. I did say that she looked like the woman 7 that I had seen with the girl. Q. Okay. Now, let's talk a little bit about the 8 little girl that you saw. Can you describe her for us? 9 10 A. She was a little girl who looked like she was between the age of eight and ten. She had brown hair. It 11 12 was sort of wavy, and it was down to about the neckline, and she had a little blue eyes and she had a little spaces 14 between her teeth. She had on a little dress and the dress was 15 very patriotic looking. It was -- it had a full collar and 16 a little elastic waist around her waist. 17 18 Q. Did you describe the dress to the police? 19 A. The night that I had called in, I did. Q. Okay. Did you make a diagram for the police of 20 21 the dress? 22 A. Yes, I did. 23 Q. Did they later show you a dress that belonged 24 to the -- to an older sister of Vicki's? 25 When we met and I drew a picture of the little A.

1	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
2	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF PIMA
3	
4	State of Arizona,
5	Plaintiff,
6	v. No. CR 14065
7	Frank Jarvis Atwood,
8	Defendant.)
9	JUN : 1987
10	CLERK SUFFIEME COURT
11	Phoenix, Arizona
12	March 6, 1987 Afternoon session
13	
14	BEFORE: The Honorable John Hawkins, Judge
15	
16	
17	
18	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
19	JURY TRIAL
20	
21	41ll
22	4/20
23	
24	Colleen M. Grunow RPR, CSR
25	

1	Q. I show you what has been marked AAN and ask if
2	you have ever seen this document before?
3	A. No.
4	Q. Would you look at it, please. Does it look
5	like anybody you have ever seen before?
б	A. No.
7	Q. Does it resemble the woman at all that you saw
8	in the mall?
9	MR. DAVIS: Objection, leading.
10	THE COURT: Objections overruled.
11	THE WITNESS: I'd say the hair.
12	Q. (By Mr. Bloom): Did you this is a frontal
13	picture, is that right?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Did you ever see the lady from the front?
16	A. No.
17	Q. Show you what has been marked AAJ and ask if
18	you have ever seen this photo before.
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Do you know what that photo is?
21	A. Picture of the little girl.
22	Q. Of Vicki Lynn Hoskinson?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Had you ever seen that on the news?
25	A. Yes.

1	going show you some exhibits, with the court's permission.
2	THE COURT: Yes.
3	Q. (By Mr. Bloom): AA excuse me, show you
4	defendant's Exhibit E and ask if you have ever been shown
5	this particular picture before?
6	A. Yes, I was.
7	Q. Do you remember when you were shown that?
8	A. The next day.
9	Q. Okay. And do you know if you were able to
10	identify that sketch?
11	A. Yes, I did.
12	Q. What did you say about it?
13	A. I said that it looked like the person that I
14	saw with Vicki or the little girl.
15	Q. Okay. You didn't know who Vicki was at that
16	time, did you?
17	A. I knew that she was missing.
18	Q. Okay. On ask you to look at Defendant's AAN
19	and have you ever seen this picture before?
20	A. Yes, I have.
21	Q. When did you first see this picture?
22	A. The same time I saw this one.
23	Q. Did you have an opinion about whether you had
24	seen that picture or that person in that picture?
25	A. I said that I might have because I didn't see

1 the face. All I saw was the side view. Q. You never saw a frontal view of this person? 2 A. No, I didn't. 3 4 Q. I'm going show you now -- let me ask you this: 5 Can you give us any further description of the woman that 6 you saw with the girl? 7 A. No, I can't. 8 Q. Can you give us a description of the girl that 9 you saw? 10 A. She was about probably four three, I'm not sure on the height, short brown hair, freckles, cute little girl. 11 She had a dress on. 12 13 Do you know what color the dress was? Q. 14 A. Red, white and blue striped. 15 0. Had you ever seen that little girl before? 16 No, I hadn't. A. 17 0. Is the Burger Express on the level, first 18 level? 19 A. Yes, it is. 20 There are other shops in the second level? 0. 21 Right. A . 22 0. Have you ever been to the Cartoon Junction? 23 A. Yes, I have. 24 Q. And what level is that on? 25 Second level. A.

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF PIMA

State of Arizona,

Plaintiff,

V.

Frank Jarvis Atwood,

Defendant.

No. CR 14065



Phoenix, Arizona March 10, 1987

BEFORE: The Honorable John Hawkins, Judge

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS JURY TRIAL

Prepared on Appeal Original

Colleen M. Grunow RPR, CSR

1 remember, but I think that the person that I talked to the 2 following day might have shown me this. 3 Q. Is that the only time you think you might have 4 seen it? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Is that look anything like the person that you 7 saw? 8 Somewhat. I don't know for sure. A. 9 Q. Okay. I'll show you what has been marked 10 Defendant's AAN and ask you to look at this document. Okay. 11 Look at it to yourself. Have you ever seen that person 12 before? 13 A. I don't know. 14 Q. Does that person look at all familiar to you? 15 A. No. 15 Q. Does that look at all like the person that you 17 saw on September --18 THE COURT: I'm sorry, Mr. Davis, an 19 objection? 20 MR. DAVIS: Objection, asked and 21 answered. 22 THE COURT: Objection's overruled. 23 THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the 24 question? 25 Q. (By Mr. Bloom): Does that person look at all

- 1 like the woman you saw on September 17? 2 A. Somewhat. 3 Q. Okay. Did you -- you said you saw a little 4 girl. You know how tall that little girl was? 5 A. No. 6 Q. Okay. Do you -- can you tell us how the woman 7 was treating the little girl? 8 A. Yes. The only reason that I even took notice 9 to the customers was because the woman pulled up a child. I 10 think the reason was because they had ordered two hot dogs and one lemonade, and the child asked for her own lemonade 11 12 or she wanted something else and the woman was rough and said no and grabbed her arm and pulled her toward, real 13 14 close to her. And then I thought to myself -- I was very 15 angry because I thought how parents treat their children 16 these days and I was angry at the woman. Q. You didn't know if this woman was the parent or 17 not though, did you? 18 19 I had no idea. 20 Q. That evening you had an occasion to learn of or 21 some other time that evening or the next morning you had 22 occasion to learn of the disappearance of Vicki Lynn
- 24 A. Yes, that evening.

Hoskinson, is that right?

23

25

Q. Did you see it on television?